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## Investment Adviser's Letter to Shareholders (Unaudited)

## To Our Shareholders:

According to Amity Shlaes, a widely regarded financial journalist and author of the book on The Great Depression, The Forgotten Man, in 1938 Mr. Lammot du Pont, then head of E.I. DuPont company, spoke of a "fog of uncertainty" slowing business. Mr. du Pont noted in the company's annual report that arbitrary actions of government always slowed business down: "...by land and sea the universal practice under conditions of fog is to slacken speed." ${ }^{a}$

Both at home and abroad, the uncertainty today surrounding the global economy, divergent government economic policies, and lack of clarity on regulatory and tax policy is undoubtedly having a similar dampening effect on the recovery from the "great recession" in most developed economies. Unemployment remains stubbornly high, growth is sluggish, and deficits are mounting, as the government tries to offset the effect of deleveraging in the private sector by increased public sector spending. As John Maynard Keynes noted in his book, The General Theory of Employment, Interest and Money: ${ }^{\text {b }}$

> In abnormal times in particular, when the hypothesis of an indefinite continuance of the existing state of affairs is less plausible than usual even though there are no express grounds to anticipate a definite change, the market will be subject to waves of optimistic and pessimistic sentiment, which are unreasoning and yet in a sense legitimate where no solid basis exists for a reasonable calculation.

This is certainly an apt description of the economic and investment climate today in many parts of the world. The results of uncertainty are to a significant degree predictable: volatile markets and sharp reactions across asset classes to daily news flows; high correlation in price movements with little observable differentiation between those assets that might be more or less affected; and intensified focus on short term approaches, the implicit assumption being that someone possesses the "investment intuition" (our phrase) to get this right on a regular basis. Some argue that "Wall Street" has lost sight of its primary purpose. Charlie Munger, Vice Chairman of Berkshire Hathaway and longtime partner of Warren Buffett, during Wesco's annual shareholders' meeting in May of this year, was asked about "standards" on Wall Street. In his outspoken fashion, he summed up his views by contrasting the activities of Wall Street with those of a longtime friend and businessman named Guilford Glazer in the quote below.c (We will, if given permission, post a copy of the interview on our website.)

It's very understandable. They're recruiting young men and women whose spouses want them to get ahead. They want the place to prosper. And the only way to do that is to be even more aggressive at something that often shouldn't be done at all. So the standards go down almost in a competitive frenzy.

And something like that has happened in investment banking. At its peak, something like the Lehman firm, just before it went under, was pathological. It's not too extreme to call it pathological. When you're often dealing with crooked, crazy mortgage loan originators who behave like the worst boiling room operators of yesteryear, it's wrong to put those loans into securities and hire other people on commission to sell the securities while nobody cares about the ultimate quality. You're behaving in a way that Glazer's father would not have recommended. His simple rule was, "You want to work hard to sell people things that are good for them."

Others might say Wall Street is simply providing their clients with the products they need to achieve their investment objectives. A few of these innovations include flash trading; structured investment products such as reverse convertible notes with knock-in put options (the underwriter advises you to read the prospectus carefully so you can understand why they might pay $500+$ points over treasuries); and last but not least, ETFs backed by over-the-counter swaps because direct investment in certain markets is limited due to apparent liquidity factors. To our mind, these innovations give us lots to think about and good reason to count to ten before acting.

One aspect of this environment in which we have taken more than an academic interest has been the average investor's massive reallocation of financial assets into bonds despite pretax yields of approximately $2.6 \%$ on 10 -year treasuries. At these yields, we worry that perhaps the stage is being set for another disappointment down the road, this time in the bond market. We will say a bit more about this later in our letter.

Interestingly, in the corporate world, businesses have adjusted quickly to the new economic realities, rationalizing assets, both fixed and human, carefully managing working capital and strengthening balance sheets. Many companies are selling long dated bonds to lock in what they consider attractive costs of capital - the most glaring example of which was the issuance of 100 -year bonds by Norfolk Southern. Cash on corporate balance sheets is also near record levels. U.S. businesses are estimated to hold one trillion dollars on their balance sheets.

Given all of this uncertainty, it isn't surprising that equity markets have not produced much in the way of total returns over the past six months. On the bright side, for those of us with a slightly different perspective and time horizon, the sharp swings in prices has the effect of producing new investment opportunities from time to time. While the markets currently feel a bit like a financial video game, we think of an equity as a claim on an income stream or an asset, and that pricing will inevitably be a reflection of that fact.

As of September 30, 2010, the four Tweedy, Browne Funds outperformed their relevant benchmarks over the past six months, twelve months and year-to-date. More importantly, to our way of thinking, with the exception of our new Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged, which has been in
existence for less than a year, the other three Funds did well on an absolute basis, producing double digit returns for the year ending September 30, 2010. While we always believe that it is our long-term results that matter most, we were flattered to learn that our flagship fund, the Global Value Fund, which is hedged to U.S. dollars, finished the one-year period with a $14.91 \%$ return, making it the No. 1 ranked Foreign Large Cap Value fund in the Morningstar universe. The Value Fund's return of $11.10 \%$ and the Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund's return of $11.15 \%$ for the same period ranked in the top 30\% of World Stock Funds as measured by Morningstar. Longer term comparisons of all of our Funds to indices and other mutual funds also remain very favorable.

Morningstar has ranked each Fund among its peers based on average annual total return. For the 1-, 5-, and 10-year periods ended September 30, 2010, in the Foreign Large Cap Value category, the Global Value Fund has ranked in the top 1\% (out of 368 funds), the top $11 \%$ (out of 218 funds), and the top 27 (out of 125 funds), respectively. For the 1-, 5-, and 10-year periods ended September 30, 2010, in the World Stock Fund category, the Value Fund has ranked in the top $30 \%$ (out of 846 funds), the top $47 \%$ (out of 485 funds), and the top $30 \%$ (out of 264 funds), respectively. For the 1-year period ending September 30, 2010, in the World Stock Fund category, the Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund ranked in the top $30 \%$ (out of 846 funds). Past performance is no guarantee of future results. The ranking of the Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund may have been lower had fees not been waived and/or reimbursed.

## Performance Results

Presented below are investment results of the four Tweedy, Browne mutual funds through September 30, 2010, with comparisons to the indices we consider relevant.*

|  | Tweedy, Browne Global Value Fund |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Period Ended9/30/10 | Tweedy, Browne Global Value Fund II Currency Unhedged |  |  | MSCI | MSCI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Return before | Return after | Return after |  |  |
|  |  |  | Taxes on | EAFE |  |
|  |  |  | Distributions \& | Index ${ }^{(1)(2)}$ | EAFE |
|  |  | Taxes on | Sale of Fund | (Hedged to | Index ${ }^{(1)(2)}$ |
|  | Taxes** | Distributions** | Shares** | US\$) | (in US\$) |
| Year-to-Date | 4.31\% | 4.31\% | 2.80\% | -0.12\% | 1.07\% |
| 6 Months | 3.60 | 3.60 | 2.34 | -4.11 | 0.20 |
| Since Inception |  |  |  |  |  |
| (10/26/09) ${ }^{(3)}$ | 6.44 | 6.44 | 4.20 | 2.54 | 1.65 |
| Gross Annual Fund Operating Expense Ratio as of 3/31/10: 2.57\% 抹 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Annual Fund Operating Expense Ratio as of 3/31/10: $1.38 \% \dagger \ddagger$ |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Period Ended } \\ & 9 / 30 / 10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Tweedy, Browne Value Fund |  |  |  | MSCI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Return before | Return after |  | S\&P 500 ${ }^{(1)(5)}$ |  |
|  |  | Return after <br> Taxes on Distributions** | Taxes on Distributions \& Sale of Fund Shares** |  | World <br> Index (Hedged to US\$) ${ }^{(1)(4)}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Taxes** |  |  |  |  |
| Year-to-Date | 2.75\% | 2.75 | 1.78 | 3.89\% | 1.95\% |
| 6 Months | -1.63 | -1.63 | -1.06 | -1.42 | -2.57 |
| 1 Year | 11.10 | 10.91 | 7.46 | 10.16 | 6.36 |
| 3 Years | -2.15 | -3.35 | -1.95 | -7.13 | -8.36 |
| 5 Years | 2.47 | 1.20 | 2.01 | 0.64 | - |
| 10 Years | 3.16 | 2.17 | 2.52 | -0.43 | - |
| 15 Years | 7.42 | 6.46 | 6.31 | 6.45 | - |
| Since Inceptio |  |  |  |  |  |
| $(12 / 8 / 93)^{(3)}$ | 8.31 | 7.42 | 7.20 | 7.48 | - |

Total Annual Fund Operating Expense Ratio as of 3/31/10: 1.43\% $\dagger$

|  |  | edy, Browne W | rldwide |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | High | Dividend Yield V | alue Fund |  |
|  |  |  | Return after |  |
|  |  | Return | Taxes on | MSCI |
|  | Return | after | Distributions \& | World |
| Period Ended | before | Taxes on | Sale of Fund | Index |
| 9/30/10 | Taxes** | Distributions** | Shares** | (in US\$) ${ }^{(1)(4)}$ |
| Year-to-Date | 3.06\% | 2.56\% | 1.95\% | 2.58\% |
| 6 Months | 2.34 | 1.84 | 1.48 | -0.64 |
| 1 Year | 11.15 | 10.46 | 7.40 | 6.76 |
| 3 Years | -2.56 | -3.26 | -2.53 | -8.29 |
| Since Inceptio |  |  |  |  |
| (9/5/07) ${ }^{(3)}$ | -2.13 | -2.81 | -2.16 | -6.60 |
| 30-Day Standa | zed Yield ( | ubsidized) as of 9 | /30/10: $2.30 \%$ |  |
| 30-Day Standa | zed Yield ( | Unsubsidized) as | f 9/30/10: 2.24\% |  |
| Gross Annual | d Operati | $g$ Expense Ratio | as of 3/31/10: 1.4 |  |
| $\underline{\text { Net Annual O }}$ | ating Expe | nse Ratio as of 3/31 | 1/10: $1.38 \%$ 中 |  |

* The preceding performance data represents past performance and is not a guarantee of future results. Total return and principal value of an investment will fluctuate so that an investor's shares, when redeemed, may be worth more or less than their original cost. The returns shown do not reflect the deduction of taxes that a shareholder would pay on Fund distributions or the redemption of Fund shares. Current performance may be lower or higher than the performance data shown. Please visit www.tweedy.com to obtain performance data, which is current to the most recent month end. See pages I-8 and I-9 for footnotes 1 through 5, which describe the indices and inception dates of the Funds. Results are annualized for all periods greater than one year.
** After-tax returns are calculated using the historical highest individual federal marginal income tax rates and do not reflect the impact of state and local taxes. Returns after taxes on distributions are adjusted for federal income taxes associated with fund distributions, but do not reflect the federal income tax impact of gains or losses recognized when fund shares are sold. Returns after taxes on distributions and sale of fund shares are adjusted for federal income taxes associated with fund distributions and reflect the federal income tax impact of gains or losses recognized when fund shares are sold. Actual after-tax returns depend on an investor's tax situation and may differ from those shown, and the after-tax returns shown are not relevant to investors who hold their fund shares through taxdeferred arrangements such as $401(\mathrm{k})$ plans or individual retirement accounts.
$\dagger$ The Funds do not impose any front-end or deferred sales charge. However, the Tweedy, Browne Global Value Fund, Tweedy, Browne Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged and Tweedy, Browne Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund impose a 2\% redemption fee on redemption proceeds for redemptions or exchanges made within 60 days of purchase. Performance data does not reflect the deduction of the redemption fee, and if reflected, the redemption fee would reduce the performance data quoted for periods of 60 days or less. The expense ratios shown above reflect the inclusion of acquired fund fees and expenses and may differ from those shown in the Funds' financial statements.
$\ddagger$ Tweedy, Browne Company LLC (the "Adviser") has contractually agreed to waive its investment advisory fee and/or to reimburse expenses of the Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund and Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged to the extent necessary to maintain the total annual fund operating expenses (excluding fees and expenses from investments in other investment companies, brokerage, interest, taxes and extraordinary expenses) at no more than $1.37 \%$. This arrangement will continue at least through December 31, 2011. In this arrangement the Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund and Global Value Fund II Currency Unhedged have agreed, during the two-year period following any waiver or reimbursement by the Adviser, to repay such amount to the extent that, after giving effect to such repayment, such adjusted total annual fund operating expenses would not exceed $1.37 \%$ on an annualized basis. The performance data shown above would be lower had fees and expenses not been waived and/or reimbursed.


## Our Funds' Portfolios

All four of our Funds outperformed their respective benchmarks over the last six months ending September 30, 2010. Our returns in local currency during this period were driven in large part by strong results in our industrial holdings, including several industrial conglomerates in the Far East, and by good returns from a number of our machinery and chemical companies. Holding companies such as Fraser \& Neave and Jardine Stategic in Singapore produced high double-digit returns as money flows continued to pour into Asian companies. ${ }^{(6)}$ The Finnish elevator company, Kone; the German beverage equipment manufacturer, Krones; chemical companies such as Akzo Nobel, based in The Netherlands; and

Linde, based in Germany, continued to produce solid returns as some semblance of stability returned to Europe. Our Mexican Coca-Cola bottlers were also once again stellar performers during the period, as were our tobacco stocks, Philip Morris International and British American Tobacco. From a regional perspective, our U.S. holdings as a group generally bested their European and Asian counterparts, with companies such as ConocoPhillips, Emerson Electric and Union Pacific producing solid returns.

On the other side of the price pendulum, during the same period, a number of our insurance stocks and a couple of our pharmaceutical holdings failed to receive stock market recognition despite the fact that in most cases their underlying businesses continued to improve. The French life insurer, CNP; the German reinsurer, Munich Re (in which Warren Buffett has just reported a $10 \%$ holding); and pharmaceutical companies such as Roche and Novartis, had a difficult six months in terms of stock market returns yet these companies have current dividend yields between $3.7 \%$ and $5.2 \%$. Also, the Spanish television broadcaster, Telecinco, and the French oil giant, Total, had negative stock market returns during the six-month period.

The volatility over the last six months presented us with pricing opportunities in several new companies. We also sold the remaining shares of several stocks that reached our target prices, and added to or trimmed a number of other issues. Among the new buys was Bangkok Bank, where civil unrest gave us what we believe was a very attractive entry price, roughly 10 x earnings, into what is generally regarded as the most conservatively managed bank in Thailand, with none of the balance sheet challenges facing Western banks. We also established positions in a number of other stocks, including Bank of New York Mellon, MasterCard, and Baxter International.

MasterCard is one of the world's leading payment processing and brand licensing companies that collects a "toll" on transactions and purchase volumes on MasterCard branded cards. It does not issue cards or extend credit. It continues to grow rapidly, particularly outside the U.S., which now accounts for $55 \%$ of revenue. While there are regulatory risks associated with an investment in MasterCard, we believe the quality of the company's business, its growth prospects, its competitive position, and its valuation more than offset these risks. At purchase, it was trading at roughly two-thirds of our estimate of intrinsic value.

Bank of New York Mellon is primarily a custodial and securities servicing provider with a leading market share. BNY Mellon has the highest credit rating of any of its leading competitors and has Tier 1 capital of $11.4 \%$ of assets. It was appointed custodian for the TARP bailout funds during the crisis. These types of banks have sold in the past at premium multiples to traditional lending banks given the stability of their fee-based business models. At purchase, we paid roughly 11x 2010 consensus estimated earnings, 9.1 x 2011 estimated earnings, and roughly $64 \%$ of our estimates of value.

We established a position in Baxter International, the U.S.-based medical products business, as periodic concerns about pricing in the plasma derivative market brought its stock down to what we think were attractive levels. Baxter is active
in bioscience, producing drugs for hemophilia and immune deficiency disorders, and has the largest share in these markets. Baxter also produces medical delivery products such as intravenous solutions, premixed drugs and infusion pumps, as well as renal products for kidney failure. At initial purchase, the company's shares were trading roughly at a one-third discount from a conservative estimate of intrinsic value - 11 x earnings before interest, taxes, and amortization ("EBITA").

In addition, we added two new smaller capitalization companies in South Korea: Ottogi, a manufacturer of seasonings and instant foods; and SK Gas, a company that imports and distributes liquefied petroleum gas to households, the transportation industry and petrochemical products manufacturers. At purchase Ottogi was trading at two-thirds of estimated intrinsic value based on a valuation of 10x EBITA, and SK Gas was trading at roughly $50 \%$ of book value and $4 x$ estimated after-tax earnings. Both companies have grown at attractive rates in the past.

Notable sales during the period included Coca-Cola Hellenic, the Greek Coca-Cola bottler that nearly doubled our money from our original purchase early last year, and Cargotec, a Finnish cargo handling company, which we sold in lots at prices greater than or equal to our estimates of intrinsic value. We added to our positions in Roche, Philip Morris International, CNP, and Total, and trimmed our positions in Kone and Krones, among others, and trimmed or sold our positions in Korea Exchange Bank.

In the Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund, we also added a number of new positions: Automatic Data Processing, one of the leading providers of business outsourcing solutions, which was trading at roughly a $15 \%$ discount from our estimate of intrinsic value at purchase with a dividend yield of approximately $3.5 \%$, and which has increased its dividend for 34 consecutive years (note: in this Fund, in some instances, we are willing to accept a level of discount from intrinsic value that is less than that required in our other Funds in return for an attractive yield); British American Tobacco, the third largest tobacco company in the world, which was trading at approximately $75 \%$ of estimated intrinsic value at purchase with a dividend yield over $5 \%$, and which has increased its dividend every year since 1999; Kimberly-Clark, the third largest household products company in the world, which was trading at $80 \%$ of estimated intrinsic value at purchase with a dividend yield of approximately $4.3 \%$, and which has a record of increasing its dividend in each of the last 38 years; Metcash, an Australian food and beverage distributor, which was trading at $75 \%$ of estimated intrinsic value at purchase with a dividend yield over 6\%; and Zurich Financial, a Swiss-based multi-line insurer, which was trading at 8 x 2011 estimated earnings at purchase with a dividend yield of approximately $7.0 \%$. We sold our positions in Altria and Reynolds, preferring to focus on non-U.S. tobacco companies where it appears the prospects for growth are better and litigation risks smaller. We also sold Norfolk Southern, which had reached our target price, and Kimberly-Clark de Mexico, where we had a nice return, replacing it with its U.S.-based parent, which was much more attractively priced.

We derive a great deal of comfort from our high dividend portfolio companies' ability to have paid consistent and
increasing dividends in the midst of a challenging business environment. During the third quarter alone, six of our portfolio companies announced dividend increases: Akzo declared an interim dividend that was a $6.7 \%$ increase from 2009; BAE Systems declared an interim dividend that represented a $9.4 \%$ increase over last year; British American Tobacco declared an interim dividend that was a $19 \%$ increase over 2009; McDonald's declared a quarterly dividend that was an $11 \%$ increase over the previous quarter; Pearson PLC declared an interim dividend that represented a $6.6 \%$ increase over 2009; and Philip Morris declared a quarterly dividend that was $10.3 \%$ greater than the previous quarter. There were no dividend cuts during the quarter. Out of 37 stocks in the Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund's portfolio, 17 companies have increased their dividend every year for a minimum of ten years, 9 of which raised their dividend at a minimum every year for the last 25 years, while 7 have increased their dividend at minimum every year for the last 35 years. The Fund's portfolio as of September 30, 2010 was invested in 37 companies across 11 different countries. These stocks traded at a weighted average price/earnings multiple of 12.6x 2010 estimated earnings and $11.5 x 2011$ estimated earnings, and had a weighted average dividend yield of approximately $4.5 \%$. (Please note that the average dividend yields and other portfolio characteristics shown here are not representative of the Fund's yield, nor do they represent the Fund's performance. The figures solely represent the average weighted dividend yield and other portfolio characteristics of the common stocks held in the Fund's portfolio. Please refer to the 30-Day Standardized Yields in the performance charts on page I-2 for the Fund's yield.) On average, their payout ratio was $55 \%$ based on estimated dividends and earnings per share. After making some reasonable assumptions about Philip Morris International, which was just spun out of Altria two years ago, and Zurich Financial, which has a more complicated dividend history, the stocks in our portfolio had a weighted average fiveyear dividend growth rate of approximately $11.2 \%$. While there are no guarantees of course, these are the kind of characteristics that historically have been associated with solid returns over long measurement periods.

A number of our investors have asked us what we think will be the impact on our stocks of a change in the tax rates on dividends. As many of you probably already know, if Congress does not act this term, beginning in January, the capital gains tax rate will revert back to $20 \%$ and the tax rate on dividends will return to the individual's tax rate on ordinary income versus $15 \%$ currently. The Republicans, as is well known, want an extension of all the Bush era tax rates, while the Obama Administration has indicated it wants no tax increases for people earning less than $\$ 250,000$, and presumably their position includes no tax increase on dividends and capital gains for people in those tax brackets. At this point, positions appear stuck but no doubt there will be horse trading and compromise following the election because neither party seems to want to return to the rates in effect before the Bush tax cuts.

We don't think we should opine on the outcome insofar as rates are concerned. As we all know, taxes have been a bone of contention since our ancestors settled down to farm and live in communities. We do have some background, though, that we think is relevant to thinking about the consequences.

First, there are studies that confirm the efficacy of dividends in enhancing total returns over the long term, with many encompassing periods when the marginal rates on dividends were much higher than today. Secondly, while we do not have the exact percentage, we have seen reports that suggest that around $50 \%$ of the entities holding common stocks are tax exempt institutions that are thus largely indifferent to tax rates. Of the tax-paying investors, a significant percentage is most likely in the hands of U.S. investors earning less than $\$ 250,000$, a category representing some $98 \%$ of tax filers. If the administration is successful in "no new taxes" for income earners below $\$ 250,000$, we are speaking about a greatly reduced universe of shareholders who would be subject to the tax increase on dividends, and this certainly doesn't suggest a stampede out of dividend paying stocks. Even if investors were in these stocks just for the after-tax income and they do end up facing higher tax rates, what is the alternative for yieldconscious investors? Intermediate or long-term government bonds that pay a yield of between $2.6 \%$ and $4.0 \%$ that is fully taxable at top marginal rates, with no prospect for growth if held to maturity? Today, any number of companies in our portfolios pay a higher yield, and have prospects for capital appreciation over time. Moreover, if inflation increases, businesses can increase the prices of their products. Bonds can't do that.*

As mentioned above, our portfolio is made up for the most part of what we believe are great companies that have paid consistent and growing dividends, in many instances for 20, 30, 40 and, in several instances, over 50 years. For example, Kimberly-Clark, whose current yield is approximately 4.1\%, has increased its dividend in each of the last 38 years. Genuine Parts, with a current yield of $3.9 \%$, has grown its dividend in each of the last 54 years. Roche currently yields $4.2 \%$ and has raised its dividend in each of the last 20 years. As a group, these stocks did just fine over the long term notwithstanding higher marginal tax rates in the past. Remember, we are investing in these stocks to achieve attractive total returns, not just for the income they generate. For the most part, these are not utilities or real estate investment trusts, which people often invest in simply to maximize income. Rather these stocks trade on fundamentals and from our point of view, their success as equity investments will depend on their price-to-value relationship and their ability to produce steady and growing rates of operating income over time.

We are often asked why dividend stocks have produced better total returns over time. Unfortunately, the studies do not tell us why. We suspect that it may be due to a value effect, by which we mean that when a company's dividend yield increases, it's often an indication that its stock is cheap relative to its income stream. Also, corporations as a whole have a

[^0]rather miserable record when it comes to capital allocation. Managements frequently engage in empire building, using valuable retained earnings to make ill-advised acquisitions that actually destroy intrinsic value. When a significant portion of the earnings are paid out in the form of a dividend, it may actually be more accretive to growth in intrinsic value over time. From our point of view, it is factors such as these that will have a far more important impact on their future equity prices than the marginal tax rates of a modest percentage of investors in their stocks.

In summary, we feel that each Fund's portfolio is currently well-positioned, particularly in light of some of the macroeconomic challenges we are facing around the globe. Our Funds' portfolios have very little direct exposure to the PIIGS (Portugal, Ireland, Italy, Greece, and Spain). Our financial industry exposure in Europe is limited to several insurance companies, with no investments in European bank stocks at this time. The bulk of our investments today in Europe and elsewhere are in larger, globally diversified, conservatively financed businesses that generate a considerable amount of their sales and profits in the emerging markets, and often pay an attractive dividend. While many of these companies, such as Nestle, Diageo, Heineken, Unilever, Kone, Novartis, and Total, among others, are headquartered in Europe, they are truly global enterprises. We think these are businesses that have the financial strength to withstand near-term shocks and are also in a position to benefit significantly from a global recovery. In our view, valuations remain reasonably attractive and business is steadily improving. While many western governments wrestle with how to rein in large deficits, businesses have adjusted fairly early and well to the new economic realities. If recent market volatility continues producing pricing opportunities, we still have cash that we can, and will, put to work.

## Staying Ahead of the Red Tide of Inflation

We have been amused of late by the Federal Reserve's seeming concern that we have too little inflation. Apparently, current levels - admittedly low, at least as measured by the CPI — are simply not "... consistent over the long term with its mandate to promote maximum employment and price stability."d This concern arises despite rapidly escalating commodity and gold prices. In fact, by any rational measure of price levels, we probably have all the inflation we need today. The CPI has increased at roughly $2.3 \%$ per year over the last 5 and 10 years. Of course, if you strip out food and energy costs as the Fed typically does, the compound increase is more like $2 \%$. We grant that over the last year, the increase was closer to $1 \%$. We, of course, have no clue about whether we are about to enter a period of increasing inflation or possibly deflation. However, under former Chairman of the Federal Reserve Board, Alan Greenspan, and now current Chairman Ben Bernanke, the Fed has continued to pursue highly stimulative monetary policies with what one would logically assume to be a bias in favor of inflation. This is understandably political and on some level, economic, since the higher wages and corporate earnings that often accompany an inflationary period at least offers the illusion of prosperity. In addition, inflation can assist in raising government tax revenue, as inflation-induced increases in income cause more income to be taxed at higher
graduated tax rates. Consequently, inflation can increase the government's cut of the economy's overall income pie, as inflation-induced "tax bracket creep" results in taxes increasing at a faster rate than overall income. Policymakers' intent is to prevent a period of sustained deflation and stagnant growth as we have seen in Japan.

It is important to bear in mind that our government has within its powers the ability to very easily create new money out of thin air with the stroke of a pen, by running the printing press. Ben Bernanke described this power to create money in a speech that he gave on November 21, 2002 at the National Economists Club: ${ }^{\text {e }}$

Like gold, U.S. dollars have value only to the extent that they are strictly limited in supply. But the U.S. government has a technology, called a printing press (or today, its electronic equivalent), that allows it to produce as many U.S. dollars as it wishes at essentially no cost. By increasing the number of U.S. dollars in circulation, or even by credibly threatening to do so, the U.S. government can also reduce the value of a dollar in terms of goods and services, which is equivalent to raising the price in dollars of those goods and services. We conclude that, under a paper-money system, a determined government can always generate higher spending and hence positive inflation.

Here is an example of how easily the Federal Reserve can create brand new money: Let's say you decided to sell \$105,000 worth of bonds. Assume that the buyer who pays you $\$ 105,000$ for your bonds is the Federal Reserve, which sends a Federal Reserve check to you in the amount of $\$ 105,000$, which you then cash. The key thing about this transaction is that when buying your bonds for $\$ 105,000$, the Federal Reserve's checking account balance was never reduced by $\$ 105,000$, nor did the Federal Reserve have to borrow the $\$ 105,000$ amount that it paid you for your bonds. The Fed simply created the money. You accepted the $\$ 105,000$ check from the Fed as "money" even though the money was just a piece of paper with the words, "Federal Reserve" written on it. The Fed can very easily buy assets - such as bonds, or any other kind of asset - with brand new money in the form of checks, wired money, one dollar bills, other currency that it prints, or coins. It is an awesome power!

We thought it might be worthwhile to take a moment and examine the impact that inflation can have on purchasing power. As The Wall Street Journal pointed out in an editorial several weeks back, "Central bankers who wish for more inflation usually get their wish, and the result is rarely benign." ${ }^{\text {d }}$ For instance, if you had $\$ 1,000$ today and simply put it under the mattress, it would not earn a return other than the psychic income associated with knowing it's there. Assuming an inflation rate of $2 \%$ per year, and a ten year time horizon, the $\$ 1,000$ today would have purchasing power of just $\$ 817.07$ in ten years, representing a cumulative decline in purchasing power of $18.3 \%$. At an inflation rate of $4 \%$ per year, the $\$ 1,000$ today in ten years would have purchasing power of $\$ 664.83$, representing a decline of purchasing power of $33.5 \%$. At an inflation rate of $6 \%$ per year, the $\$ 1,000$ today in ten years would have purchasing power of $\$ 538.62$, representing a decline of purchasing power of $46.1 \%$.

As you can see, even modest levels of inflation can have a significant impact on purchasing power over time, and it is a fact that we have experienced much more severe periods of inflation in the past as indicated in the chart below. While inflation has remained at relatively low levels for many years now, we think the probabilities that it could once again raise its ugly head have increased of late, given our country's increasing trade and fiscal deficits and the aggressive monetary policies we have pursued. The last serious bout of inflation that we faced was back in the 1970s. Ibbotson-Sinquefield data indicates that for the ten-year period 1973 to 1982, inflation was running at an average annual rate of $8.7 \%$. From 1977 to 1981, inflation increased at $10.1 \%$ per year. At these levels, inflation can have a devastating impact on purchasing power if something is not done to offset its effects.

Annual Inflation Rates, as Measured by CPI (Not Seasonally Adjusted)


Of course, while we don't have to keep our money under the mattress, finding investments that can help us maintain and grow purchasing power in the face of inflation presents a difficult proposition for investors, particularly on an after-tax basis. Nevertheless, we believe that well selected valueoriented equities rather than bonds give investors who have the luxury of a longer term perspective the best chance of keeping the inflation wolf from their door, particularly in light of the price-to-value relationship in equity markets today. While high quality government and corporate bonds have risen dramatically in price lately, as the level of interest rates has approached nearly zero, value-oriented global equities remain reasonably to attractively priced.

Inflation has a way of destroying wealth for all investors, but particularly so in the case of lenders, i.e., bond holders. The bond investor's coupon is fixed and the investor's income cannot grow to keep up with rising price levels. Higher interest rates associated with escalating levels of inflation can severely punish the holders of long duration fixed income instruments with lower rate coupons. Holders of these instruments not only lose current purchasing power but face substantial capital impairment if the bonds are not held to maturity. In the period of rising inflation, we recall that some investors referred to bonds as "certificates of confiscation." It is quite ironic that in an effort to flee volatile equity markets, investors in high quality low coupon bonds today sit on a potentially significant risk, should we face inflation and rising interest rates. Of course bondholders are contractually guaranteed the return of their capital, albeit at a potentially inflationary-reduced dollar value; equity investors have no such guarantee. In contrast, an equity
investment has the ability to grow over time as the underlying company compounds its intrinsic value, giving the equity investor some opportunity to keep up with rising price levels, even net of taxes.

And yet, a massive amount of capital has flowed into bonds since the crisis. According to Morningstar, since the beginning of 2009 through September 30, 2010, a little over $\$ 474$ billion flowed into taxable bond mutual funds versus net outflows of $\$ 83.7$ billion from U.S. equity mutual funds during the same period. The only net positive flows for equity funds occurred in international funds, primarily emerging market vehicles, which received roughly $\$ 47$ billion of new money during the period. And the surge into bond funds did not abate in September.

| Estimated New Flows \$ Mil | September 2010 | YTD 2010 | 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Stock | $(16,254)$ | $(58,026)$ | $(25,748)$ |
| International Stock | 1,504 | 21,849 | 25,532 |
| Balanced | 187 | 5,156 | $(3,290)$ |
| Taxable Bond | 23,509 | 189,658 | 284,465 |
| Municipal Bond | 2,503 | 31,167 | 72,123 |
| Alternative | 2,175 | 17,284 | 14,103 |
| Commodities | 654 | 7,345 | 10,224 |
| All Long Term | 14,278 | 214,433 | 377,409 |
| Money Market | $(29,061)$ | $(495,121)$ | $(378,362)$ |

Source: Morningstar Direct Fund Flows
Morningstar Direct ${ }^{\text {SM }}$, October 2010
This demand has in part driven bond yields down to lows not seen in decades. A two-year treasury bond today has an annual yield of approximately $0.37 \%$, while its 10 -year and 30 year brethren yield $2.6 \%$ and $4.0 \%$, respectively. These record low yields have spurred corporate borrowing, with corporate issuance over the last six months at record levels. Microsoft's recent offering of three year bonds was done at an interest rate of $0.875 \%$, and as previously mentioned, Norfolk Southern has issued 100 -year bonds and Goldman Sachs recently sold 50year bonds to lock in long-term borrowing costs. Early movers have had a nice return as interest rates have been driven down by enormous government stimulus programs, but many financial experts, including Jeremy Siegel, the noted Wharton professor, feel that we are in the midst of a bond bubble today "... that may have far more serious consequences for investors ..." than the tech bubble of 10 years ago. ${ }^{f}$

Over the last year we have been able to uncover what we believe are undervalued equities that we think represent compelling opportunities when compared to fixed income alternatives. When we make an investment in an equity security, we are essentially buying into the company at a discount to what we believe a rational buyer would pay on a per share basis if he acquired the entire business in an arms length negotiated transaction. For example, as we mentioned earlier, we purchased shares in MasterCard. In an acquisition, our conservative estimate is that an acquirer would have to pay approximately a ratio of enterprise value ("EV") to earnings before interest and taxes ("EBIT") of 12x. Enterprise value is the sum of the total market value of the particular company's outstanding shares, plus all interest-bearing debts, preferred stock and minority interest, less cash. We use EV as the numerator for the multiple analysis because it conservatively
incorporates the entire capital structure of the business, including any long or short-term debt after subtracting cash. We were able to purchase shares of this company in the stock market back in June for roughly 7.5 x EV to 2011 EBIT, or about one-third discounted from what we felt was a rational appraisal of the company's value.

A stock purchased at 7.5 x EV to EBIT has an effective pre-tax earnings yield of approximately $13.3 \%$. Applying a $35 \%$ tax rate to this pre-tax yield gives the equity investor an implied after-tax yield of roughly $8.6 \%$, which is approximately $115 \%$ more than the pre-tax $4.0 \%$ coupon, on a long-term government bond. This results in a price-to-value advantage for this security over the long-term government bond that Ben Graham would almost certainly have found compelling leaving aside the tax advantages of equities versus bonds. The only drawbacks are that the equity investor cannot put this yield in his pocket year in and year out. The coupon (profits) can and will vary over time, and, as an equity investor, the return of our initial investment is not contractually guaranteed as it is in bonds. If our analysis is correct, and if a company is able to grow its operating income over time, our effective coupon grows. If at some point we are able to put the shares of that company, whose operating income has grown, back into the stock market at the company's intrinsic value multiple of 12 , we will have made a very nice return that should keep us ahead of all but the most severe rates of inflation.

During the inflation of the late 70 s, equities performed well. As you may recall, the Consumer Price Index ranged from $7 \%$ in 1975 to as high as $12.4 \%$ in 1980. Interest rates on high grade corporate bonds rose from $8.6 \%$ in 1975 to $12.4 \%$ in 1980, almost in lock step with increasing price levels. The prime rate reached a high of $21 \%$ in 1980 . On top of this, we faced an oil embargo with rapidly escalating oil prices, a hostage crisis which eroded the confidence of the country, and to many, a failed presidency during the Carter Administration. Despite this very difficult macroeconomic environment, the S\&P 500 compounded at an annualized rate of $17.6 \%$ between December 31, 1974 and December 31, 1980, which was roughly twice the annualized rate of inflation during this sixyear period. No doubt, these returns in large part were due to the very attractive low valuations of equities after the equity market sell-off of 1973-1974. In the recent credit crisis of two years ago, equities were also very attractively priced, but they did not get quite as cheap as they did in 1974, although interest rates were substantially lower in 2008 than they were in 1974. Nonetheless, even after the bounce off the bottom, we feel that certain value-priced equities today present a significant valuation advantage to bonds, and probably offer investors a better defense against inflation than most fixed income instruments.

Businesses, we like to remind ourselves, are adaptive, income-generating enterprises and many have shown a remarkable ability to anticipate and adapt over time in the face of always changing conditions, increasing profits in the process. A prime example for us is the significant exposure that many of your investments today have to rapidly growing markets where middle classes are reasonably expected to double over the next decade. Simplistically, when we compare two alternatives: first, a ten-year government bond yielding $2 \%$ to $3 \%$ with no chance
of increasing the cash coupon we receive; and second, an interest in a conservatively financed company with a global business footprint and global brand recognition, upwards of one-third of revenues coming from markets where the universe of middle class consumers could well double in the next decade, and with a dividend yield of $3 \%$ to $4 \%$, then we want to own the business, not the bond. We believe we may be wealthier for having picked the business.

## Looking Forward

The financial crisis has put us at a crossroads of sorts, and the path to a full recovery is not obvious. However, there is some reason for optimism. On the plus side, the savings rate is up in America as consumers repair their personal balance sheets. In the U.S., the government seems to understand, summed up in James Carville's famous phrase, "It's the economy, stupid." Some say it is helping too much. The extent and necessity of that help will continue to be debated. Southern Europe is addressing its problems with the attendant political stress as austerity programs start to sting. The emerging markets are supplanting the West as the engine of growth for the world economy, and a growing middle class in countries representing over half the world's population are aspiring to the things we take for granted. Cultures, governments, and businesses are slowly adjusting to new economic realities.

We continue to manage our business and your money with a fierce dedication to the principles of price and value that have served us so well over the years. We remain firmly committed to the notion that the public markets are there to simply serve us as investors, offering us a convenient way to become engaged and disengaged from businesses. We have great faith in the resilience of the American people and our financial system, and are quite confident that at some point sensible policies will take hold and the fog will lift. Until then, we will continue, as we always have, to try to take advantage of the market's volatility and hair trigger nerves to exploit large discrepancies between price and long-term underlying value.

Thank you for investing with us and for your continued confidence.

Very truly yours,
TWEEDY, BROWNE COMPANY LLC

William H. Browne<br>Thomas H. Shrager<br>John D. Spears<br>Robert Q. Wyckoff, Jr.<br>Managing Directors

October 2010
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## Footnotes:

(1) Indexes are unmanaged, and the figures for the indexes shown include reinvestment of dividends and capital gains distributions and do not reflect any fees or expenses. Investors cannot invest directly in an index. We strongly recommend that these factors be considered before an investment decision is made.
(2) MSCI EAFE Index US\$ is an unmanaged capitalizationweighted index of companies representing the stock markets of Europe, Australasia and the Far East. MSCI EAFE Index Hedged consists of the results of the MSCI EAFE Index hedged $100 \%$ back into US dollars and accounts for interest rate differentials in forward currency exchange rates. Results for both indexes are inclusive of dividends and net of foreign withholding taxes.
(3) Inception dates for the Global Value Fund, Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged, Value Fund and Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund were June 15, 1993, October 26, 2009, December 8, 1993, and September 5, 2007, respectively. Information with respect to MSCI EAFE indexes used is available at month end only; therefore the closest month end to the Global Value Fund's inception date, May 31, 1993, was used.
(4) The MSCI World Index is a free float-adjusted market capitalization weighted index that is designed to measure the equity market performance of developed markets. The MSCI World Index (US\$) reflects the return of this index for a US dollar investor. MSCI World Index (Hedged to US\$) consists of the results of the MSCI World Index with its foreign currency exposure hedged $100 \%$ back into US dollars. The index accounts for interest rate differentials in forward currency exchange rates. Results for this index are inclusive of dividends and net of foreign withholding taxes.

SEP 500 Index is an unmanaged capitalization weighted index composed of 500 widely held common stocks listed on the New York Stock Exchange, American Stock Exchange and over-the-counter market and includes the reinvestment of dividends.
(6) As of September 30, 2010, Tweedy, Browne Global Value Fund, Tweedy, Browne Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged, Tweedy, Browne Value Fund and Tweedy, Browne Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund had invested the following percentages of its net assets, respectively, in the following portfolio holdings: Akzo Nobel ( $2.9 \%, 2.6 \%, 1.1 \%, 1.5 \%$ ); Altria Group Inc. ( $0.0 \%$, $0.0 \%, 0.0 \%, 0.0 \%$ ) ; Automatic Data Processing (0.0\%, $0.0 \%, 0.0 \%, 2.5 \%$ ); BAE Systems ( $0.0 \%, 1.8 \%, 0.0 \%$, 2.5\%); Bangkok Bank (0.9\%, 1.1\%, 0.0\%, 0.0\%); Bank of New York Mellon ( $0.0 \%, 0.0 \%, 1.0 \%, 0.0 \%$ ); Baxter International (1.2\%, 1.3\%, 2.1\%, 0.0\%); British American Tobacco ( $0.0 \%, 1.0 \%, 0.0 \%$, 2.0\%); Cargotec ( $0.0 \%, 0.0 \%, 0.0 \%, 0.0 \%$ ); CNP Assurances (3.2\%, $3.5 \%, 2.0 \%, 3.5 \%)$; Coca-Cola Femsa (2.5\%, 0.0\%, $0.0 \%, 0.0 \%$ ); Coca-Cola Hellenic ( $0.0 \%, 0.0 \%, 0.0 \%$, $0.0 \%$ ); ConocoPhillips ( $0.8 \%, 1.3 \%, 2.2 \%, 2.6 \%$ ); Diageo (3.2\%, 2.9\%, 4.4\%, 3.2\%); Emerson Electric ( $0.0 \%, 0.0 \%, 2.6 \%, 2.0 \%$ ); Fraser $\mathcal{E}$ Neave (2.3\%, $0.6 \%, 0.0 \%, 0.0 \%$ ); Genuine Parts ( $0.0 \%, 0.0 \%, 0.0 \%$, 2.6\%); Gestivision Telecinco SA (1.7\%, 0.9\%, 1.4\%, $0.0 \%)$; Heineken Holding NV (4.0\%, 2.3\%, 4.1\%, $0.0 \%)$; Jardine Strategic ( $1.2 \%, 1.4 \%, 0.0 \%, 0.0 \%$ ); Kimberly-Clark Corp ( $0.0 \%, 0.0 \%, 0.0 \%, 2.5 \%$ ); Kimberly-Clark de Mexico ( $0.0 \%, 0.0 \%, 0.0 \%, 0.0 \%$ ); Kone (2.9\%, 0.2\%, 0.0\%, 0.0\%); Korea Exchange Bank ( $1.1 \%, 0.0 \%, 0.0 \%, 0.0 \%$ ); Krones ( $1.3 \%, 0.5 \%, 1.0 \%$, $0.0 \%$ ); Linde (2.7\%, 0.0\%, 2.2\%, 0.0\%); MasterCard (0.0\%, 0.0\%, 1.0\%, 0.0\%); McDonald's Corp (0.0\%, $0.0 \%, 0.0 \%, 1.2 \%)$; Metcash ( $0.0 \%, 0.0 \%, 0.0 \%$, $1.0 \%)$; Munich Re (3.1\%, 3.6\%, 2.9\%, 3.5\%); Nestle ( $4.3 \%, 3.3 \%, 4.8 \%, 1.5 \%$ ); Norfolk Southern ( $0.0 \%$, $0.0 \%, 1.5 \%, 0.0 \%)$; Novartis (3.2\%, 2.4\%, 2.9\%, $3.2 \%$ ); Ottogi Corp ( $0.0 \%, 0.7 \%, 0.0 \%, 0.0 \%$ ); Pearson PLC ( $0.0 \%, 0.0 \%, 0.0 \%, 1.9 \%$ ); Philip Morris International (3.4\%, 3.3\%, 3.7\%, 3.4\%); Reynolds American Inc ( $0.0 \%, 0.0 \%, 0.0 \%, 0.0 \%$ ); Roche Holding $(2.6 \%, 3.1 \%, 2.8 \%, 3.6 \%)$ SK Gas $(0.1 \%, 0.5 \%$, $0.0 \%, 0.0 \%)$; Total SA (3.1\%, 3.5\%, 3.1\%, 3.5\%); Unilever NV (1.8\%, 2.4\%, 2.2\%, 2.4\%); Unilever PLC ( $1.9 \%, 0.0 \%, 1.5 \%, 0.0 \%$ ); Union Pacific ( $0.0 \%, 0.0 \%$, $1.8 \%, 0.0 \%$ ); and Zurich Financial ( $0.3 \%, 1.5 \%, 0.0 \%$, 2.5\%).

Current and future portfolio holdings are subject to risk. Investing in foreign securities involves additional risks beyond the risks of investing in U.S. securities markets. These risks include currency fluctuations; political uncertainty; different accounting and financial standards; different regulatory environments; and different market and economic factors in various non-U.S. countries. In addition, the securities of small, less well known companies may be more volatile than those of larger companies. Value investing involves the risk that the market will not recognize a security's intrinsic value for a long time, or that a security thought to be undervalued may actually be appropriately priced when purchased. Please refer to the Funds' prospectus for a description of risk factors associated with investments in securities which may be held by the Funds.
Although the practice of hedging against currency exchange rate changes utilized by the Tweedy, Browne Global Value Fund and Tweedy, Browne Value Fund reduces the risk of loss from exchange rate movements, it also reduces the ability of the Funds to gain from favorable exchange rate movements when the U.S. dollar declines against the currencies in which the Funds' investments are denominated and in some interest rate environments may impose out-of-pocket costs on the Funds.

This letter contains opinions and statements on investment techniques, economics, market conditions and other matters. Of course there is no guarantee that these opinions and statements will prove to be correct, and some of them are inherently speculative. None of them should be relied upon as statements of fact.
©2010 Morningstar, Inc. All Rights Reserved. The information contained herein: (1) is proprietary to Morningstar and/or its content providers; (2) may not be copied or distributed; and (3) is not warranted to be accurate, complete or timely. Neither Morningstar nor its content providers are responsible for any damage or losses arising from any use of this information.
Tweedy, Browne Global Value Fund, Tweedy, Browne Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged, Tweedy, Browne Value Fund, and Tweedy, Browne Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund are distributed by Tweedy, Browne Company LLC.

This material must be preceded or accompanied by a prospectus for Tweedy, Browne Fund Inc.
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## SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

## Expense Information (Unaudited)

A shareholder of the Global Value Fund, Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged, Value Fund or Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund (collectively, the "Funds") incurs two types of costs: (1) transaction costs and (2) ongoing costs, including management fees and other Fund expenses. The Example below is intended to help a shareholder understand their ongoing costs (in U.S. dollars) of investing in the Funds and to compare these costs with the ongoing costs of investing in other mutual funds.

The Example is based on an investment of $\$ 1,000$ invested at the beginning of the period and held for the entire period of April 1, 2010 to September 30, 2010.

Actual Expenses The first part of the table presented below, under the heading "Actual Expenses", provides information about actual account values and actual expenses. The information in this line may be used with the amount a shareholder invested to estimate the expenses that were paid by the shareholder over the period. Simply divide the shareholder's account value by $\$ 1,000$ (for example, an $\$ 8,600$ account value divided by $\$ 1,000=8.6$ ), then multiply the result by the number in the first line under the heading entitled "Expenses Paid during Period" to estimate the expenses paid during this period.

Hypothetical Example for Comparison Purposes The second part of the table presented below, under the heading "Hypothetical Expenses", provides information about
hypothetical account values and hypothetical expenses based on each Fund's actual expense ratio and an assumed rate of return of 5\% per year before expenses, which is not each Fund's actual return. The hypothetical account values and expenses may not be used to estimate the actual ending account balance or expenses paid by the shareholder of the Funds for the period. This information may be used to compare the ongoing costs of investing in the Funds and other funds. To do so, compare this $5 \%$ hypothetical example with the $5 \%$ hypothetical examples that appear in the shareholder reports of the other funds.

Please note that the expenses shown in the table below are meant to highlight a shareholder's ongoing costs only and do not reflect redemption fees. Redemptions from the Global Value Fund, the Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged and the Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund, including exchange redemptions, within 60 days of purchase are subject to a redemption fee equal to $2 \%$ of the redemption proceeds, which will be retained by the Funds. There are no other transactional expenses associated with the purchase and sale of shares charged by any of the Funds, such as commissions, sales loads and/or redemption fees on shares held longer than 60 days. Other mutual funds may have such transactional charges. Therefore, the second part of the table is useful in comparing ongoing costs only, and will not help a shareholder determine the relative total costs of owning different funds. In addition, if redemption fees were included a shareholder's costs would have been higher.

|  | Actual Expenses |  |  | Hypothetical Expenses (5\% Return before Expenses) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Beginning Account Value 4/1/10 | Ending Account Value 9/30/10 | Expenses Paid during Period* 4/1/10 9/30/10 | Beginning Account Value 4/1/10 | Ending Account Value 9/30/10 | Expenses Paid during Period* 4/1/10 9/30/10 | Expense Ratio |
| Global Value Fund | \$1,000 | \$1,020 | \$7.04 | \$1,000 | \$1,018 | \$7.03 | 1.39\% |
| Global Value Fund II Currency Unhedged | \$1,000 | \$1,036 | \$6.99 | \$1,000 | \$1,018 | \$6.93 | 1.37\% |
| Value Fund | \$1,000 | \$984 | \$7.01 | \$1,000 | \$1,018 | \$7.13 | 1.41\% |
| Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund | \$1,000 | \$1,023 | \$6.95 | \$1,000 | \$1,018 | \$6.93 | 1.37\% |

* Expenses are equal to each Fund's annualized expense ratio, multiplied by the average account value over the period, multiplied by the number of days in the period, divided by 365 (to reflect the one-half year period).


## Portfolio of Investments <br> September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)

| Shares |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Value } \\ \text { (Note 2) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | COMMON STOCKS—91.1\% |  |
|  | Canada-1.1\% |  |
| 750,000 | National Bank of Canada, Toronto | \$47,470,390 |
|  | Czech Republic-0.0\% $\dagger$ |  |
| 2,800 | Philip Morris CR a.s. | 1,453,252 |
|  | Finland-2.9\% |  |
| 2,500,000 | Kone Oyj, Class B | 129,353,302 |
|  | France-6.7\% |  |
| 7,704,236 | CNP Assurances | 143,306,005 |
| 598,265 | Teleperformance | 17,070,183 |
| 2,601,000 | Total SA | 134,241,839 |
|  |  | 294,618,027 |
|  | Germany-14.8\% |  |
| 2,289,458 | Henkel AG \& Company, KGaA | 103,816,226 |
| 957,807 | Krones AG $\dagger$ ¢ | 57,103,075 |
| 42,354 | KSB AG | 29,775,413 |
| 920,345 | Linde AG | 119,966,881 |
| 986,000 | Muenchener RueckversicherungsGesellschaft AG | 136,763,096 |
| 1,526,933 | Springer (Axel) Verlag AG | 202,120,744 |
|  |  | 649,545,435 |
|  | Hong Kong-1.2\% |  |
| 2,002,500 | Jardine Strategic Holdings Ltd. | 53,667,000 |
|  | Ireland-0.0\% $\dagger$ |  |
| 1,111,317 | Unidare PLC $\dagger \dagger$ | 91,031 |
|  | Italy-2.0\% |  |
| 144,268 | Buzzi Unicem SPA | 1,526,406 |
| 144,958 | Gruppo Minerali Maffei $\dagger \dagger$ | 891,529 |
| 4,467,000 | Mediaset SPA | 31,711,559 |
| 7,647,974 | Mondadori (Arnoldo) Editore SPA $\dagger \dagger \ldots$ | 24,092,752 |
| 4,795,392 | Sol SPA | 29,460,148 |
|  |  | 87,682,394 |
|  | Japan-6.4\% |  |
| 545,600 | Aica Kogyo Company Ltd. | 6,217,515 |
| 1,594,700 | Canon, Inc. | 74,351,885 |
| 306,800 | Daikoku Denki Company Ltd. | 3,459,488 |
| 200,000 | Daiwa Industries Ltd. | 960,019 |
| 2,064,000 | Fujitec Company Ltd. | 10,179,172 |
| 446,600 | Fukuda Denshi Company Ltd. | 11,114,214 |
| 1,073,600 | Hi-Lex Corporation . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 13,943,692 |
| 1,577,500 | Honda Motor Company Ltd. | 55,950,832 |
| 321,000 | Katsuragawa Electric Company Ltd. $\dagger \dagger$. . | 795,391 |
| 133,000 | Kawasumi Laboratories, Inc. | 781,697 |
| 1,329,500 | Kuroda Electric Company Ltd. . . . . . . . | 15,484,840 |
| 69,100 | Mandom Corporation . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,858,603 |
| 21,670 | Medikit Company Ltd. | 6,173,641 |
| 36,240 | Milbon Company Ltd. | 1,002,088 |
| 307,100 | Mirai Industry Company Ltd. | 2,959,247 |
| 162,780 | Nippon Kanzai Company Ltd. | 2,646,101 |
| 1,051,000 | Nippon Konpo Unyu Soko Company Ltd. | 12,782,092 |
| 420,500 | Nitto FC Company Ltd. . . . . . . . . . . . . | 2,229,848 |


| Shares |  | Value <br> (Note 2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Japan (continued) |  |
| 72,700 | Ryoyo Electro Corporation | \$662,254 |
| 349,200 | Sangetsu Company Ltd. | 7,603,481 |
| 400,000 | Shinko Shoji Company Ltd. | 3,327,747 |
| 172,000 | SK Kaken Company Ltd. | 5,064,879 |
| 528,500 | T. Hasegawa Company Ltd. | 9,337,635 |
| 1,281,300 | Takata Corporation | 32,224,220 |
| 200,000 | Tomen Electronics Corporation | 2,391,669 |
|  |  | 283,502,250 |
|  | Mexico-5.1\% |  |
| 1,390,247 | Coca-Cola Femsa SA de CV, Sponsored ADR $\dagger \dagger \dagger$. . . . . | 108,745,120 |
| 14,623,380 | Embotelladoras Arca SA de CV | 59,748,233 |
| 19,300,000 | Grupo Continental SA | 56,184,883 |
|  |  | 224,678,236 |
|  | Netherlands-9.7\% |  |
| 2,093,000 | Akzo Nobel NV | 129,310,591 |
| 23,620 | Crown Van Gelder Gemeenschappelijk Bezit NV | 223,466 |
| 3,998,000 | Heineken Holding NV | 175,150,268 |
| 350,000 | Imtech NV | 11,126,090 |
| 1,500,000 | Telegraaf Media Groep NV | 26,785,349 |
| 307,955 | TKH Group NV | 6,831,859 |
| 2,568,554 | Unilever NV, CVA | 76,882,342 |
|  |  | 426,309,965 |
|  | Norway-1.9\% |  |
| 3,395,700 | Schibsted ASA | 85,237,509 |
|  | Singapore-2.3\% |  |
| 20,000,000 | Fraser and Neave Ltd. | 98,833,010 |
|  | South Korea-3.5\% |  |
| 150,900 | Daegu Department Store Company Ltd. | 1,548,371 |
| 11,330 | Daehan City Gas Company Ltd. | 292,131 |
| 90,974 | Hanil Cement Company Ltd. | 5,305,653 |
| 4,106,195 | Korea Exchange Bank | 49,695,673 |
| 832 | Ottogi Corporation. | 118,935 |
| 8,557 | Samchully Company Ltd. | 870,521 |
| 8,305 | SK Gas Company Ltd. | 294,617 |
| 241,172 | SK Telecom Company Ltd. | 36,273,622 |
| 3,437,422 | SK Telecom Company Ltd., ADR | 60,051,762 |
|  |  | 154,451,285 |
|  | Spain-1.7\% |  |
| 6,818,000 | Gestevision Telecinco SA | 75,208,453 |
|  | Sweden-0.0\% $\dagger$ |  |
| 63,360 | Cloetta Fazer AB, B Shares $\dagger \dagger$ | 366,197 |
|  | Switzerland-15.7\% |  |
| 186,990 | Coltene Holding AG | 10,565,380 |
| 1,000,000 | Compagnie Financiere Richemont AG | 48,426,224 |
| 440,000 | Daetwyler Holding AG, Bearer | 30,355,699 |
| 89,813 | Edipresse SA, Bearer | 22,546,331 |
| 18,783 | Loeb Holding AG | 3,652,971 |
| 3,500,000 | Nestle SA, Registered | 187,547,981 |
| 8 | Neue Zuercher Zeitung $\dagger \dagger$ | 512,821 |

## Portfolio of Investments

September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)

| Shares |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Value } \\ \text { (Note 2) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | COMMON STOCKS |  |
|  | Switzerland (continued) |  |
| 2,416,530 | Novartis AG, Registered | \$139,384,273 |
| 45,425 | Phoenix Mecano AG | 27,898,050 |
| 185,918 | PubliGroupe SA, Registered $\dagger \dagger$ | 18,459,538 |
| 835,325 | Roche Holding AG | 114,745,499 |
| 182,827 | Siegfried Holding AG $\dagger$ | 17,198,220 |
| 7,400 | Sika AG, Bearer | 13,732,740 |
| 432,618 | Tamedia AG | 42,068,386 |
| 857 | Zehnder Group AG | 1,711,456 |
| 55,632 | Zurich Financial Services AG | 13,114,335 |
|  |  | 691,919,904 |


| 7,953,400 | Bangkok Bank Public Company Ltd., NVDR | 40,880,738 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United Kingdom-7.3\% |  |
| 1,521,000 | AGA Foodservice Group PLC $\dagger \dagger$ | 2,348,850 |
| 2,453,599 | BBA Group PLC | 7,284,244 |
| 3,974,658 | Carclo PLC | 10,584,893 |
| 2,775,758 | Daily Mail \& General Trust, Class A | 23,007,389 |
| 8,225,426 | Diageo PLC, Sponsored ADR | 142,059,064 |
| 1,000,000 | G4S PLC | 4,011,977 |
| 1,397,625 | Headlam Group PLC | 6,452,950 |
| 2,238,495 | Provident Financial PLC | 29,065,870 |
| 5,038,361 | TT Electronics PLC $\dagger \dagger$ | 11,313,686 |
| 2,849,351 | Unilever PLC | 82,660,825 |
|  |  | 318,789,748 |


|  | United States-7.8\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75,700 | American National Insurance Company | 5,750,929 |
| 1,094,821 | Baxter International, Inc. | 52,233,910 |
| 436 | Berkshire Hathaway Inc., Class A $\dagger \dagger$ | 54,282,000 |
| 301 | Berkshire Hathaway Inc., Class B $\dagger \dagger$ | 24,887 |
| 587,000 | ConocoPhillips | 33,711,410 |
| 49,250 | Devon Energy Corporation | 3,188,445 |
| 528,400 | Johnson \& Johnson | 32,739,664 |
| 2,638,686 | Philip Morris International, Inc. | 147,819,190 |
| 269,276 | Transatlantic Holdings, Inc. | 13,684,606 |
|  |  | 343,435,041 |

Miscellaneous-0.1\%
Undisclosed security *. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2,285,013
TOTAL COMMON STOCKS
(COST \$2,472,170,731)
4,009,778,180
PREFERRED STOCKS—0.3\%
166,388 Adris Grupa d.d. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 8,578,784
543,870 Villeroy \& Boch AG $\dagger \dagger$. . . . . . . . . . . . . 3, 3, 168,968
TOTAL PREFERRED STOCKS
(COST \$14,958,803) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 11,747,752
Shares
Value
(Note 2)

[^1]
## Sector Diversification

September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)

Sector Diversification $\quad$| Percentage of |
| :--- |
| Net Assets |

COMMON STOCKS:

$\dagger$ Amount represents less than $0.1 \%$ of net assets

## Portfolio Composition <br> September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)


$\dagger$ Amount represents less than $1 \%$ of net assets $\dagger \dagger$ Includes Unrealized Depreciation on Forward Contracts (Net)

## Schedule of Forward Exchange Contracts

September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)

## Contracts



| $\begin{gathered} \text { Counter- } \\ \text { party } \end{gathered}$ | Contract <br> Value Date | Contract Value on Origination Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value } 9 / 30 / 10 \\ & \text { (Note 2) } \end{aligned}$ | Unrealized Gain (Loss) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JPM | 5/19/11 | \$(14,600,672) | \$(14,532,975) | \$67,697 |
| JPM | 6/15/11 | $(18,825,301)$ | $(19,361,800)$ | $(536,499)$ |
| NTC | 8/4/11 | $(5,740,803)$ | $(5,799,907)$ | $(59,104)$ |
| BOA | 10/12/10 | $(145,888,008)$ | $(136,508,335)$ | 9,379,673 |
| CIT | 10/15/10 | $(58,594,004)$ | $(54,602,104)$ | 3,991,900 |
| CIT | 10/19/10 | $(59,008,007)$ | $(54,600,464)$ | 4,407,543 |
| BNY | 11/24/10 | (23,644,963) | $(21,834,285)$ | 1,810,678 |
| NTC | 2/11/11 | $(69,677,001)$ | $(68,187,205)$ | 1,489,796 |
| NTC | 3/31/11 | $(53,599,997)$ | $(54,525,538)$ | $(925,541)$ |
| BOA | 4/20/11 | $(101,650,506)$ | $(102,212,812)$ | $(562,306)$ |
| JPM | 4/26/11 | $(33,906,254)$ | $(34,068,681)$ | $(162,427)$ |
| BNY | 5/10/11 | $(59,840,099)$ | $(61,314,150)$ | $(1,474,051)$ |
| NTC | 5/19/11 | $(31,698,752)$ | $(34,060,034)$ | (2,361,282) |
| JPM | 6/6/11 | $(111,761,994)$ | $(122,591,770)$ | $(10,829,776)$ |
| BOA | 6/15/11 | $(53,917,198)$ | $(61,289,799)$ | $(7,372,601)$ |
| BOA | 8/4/11 | $(97,271,256)$ | (102,092,821) | $(4,821,565)$ |
| CIT | 8/9/11 | $(52,223,200)$ | $(54,446,466)$ | $(2,223,266)$ |
| SSB | 9/9/11 | $(152,459,999)$ | $(163,282,900)$ | $(10,822,901)$ |
| SSB | 9/20/11 | $(128,348,994)$ | $(136,052,385)$ | $(7,703,391)$ |
| SSB | 9/28/11 | $(100,087,503)$ | $(102,031,016)$ | $(1,943,513)$ |
| CIT | 11/24/10 | $(20,043,000)$ | $(18,901,778)$ | 1,141,222 |
| CIT | 2/8/11 | $(47,871,900)$ | $(47,229,945)$ | 641,955 |
| CIT | 4/20/11 | $(12,269,440)$ | $(12,587,979)$ | $(318,539)$ |

(a) Primary risk exposure being hedged against is currency risk.

## Schedule of Forward Exchange Contracts

September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)

| Contracts | Counterparty | Contract Value Date | Contract Value on Origination Date | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} \text { Value } 9 / 30 / 10 \\ (\text { Note 2) } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Unrealized Gain (Loss) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FORWARD EXCHANGE CONTRACTS TO SELL (a) (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27,000,000 Great Britain Pound Sterling | BNY | 4/26/11 | \$(41,764,950) | \$(42,482,389) | \$(717,439) |
| 10,000,000 Great Britain Pound Sterling | BNY | 5/19/11 | $(14,834,000)$ | $(15,731,320)$ | $(897,320)$ |
| 20,000,000 Great Britain Pound Sterling | NTC | 9/20/11 | $(30,775,600)$ | $(31,431,222)$ | $(655,622)$ |
| 4,225,000,000 Japanese Yen | BOA | 2/28/11 | $(46,607,832)$ | $(50,674,028)$ | $(4,066,196)$ |
| 2,000,000,000 Japanese Yen | BOA | 3/9/11 | $(22,626,994)$ | $(23,990,835)$ | $(1,363,841)$ |
| 2,000,000,000 Japanese Yen | NTC | 4/20/11 | $(21,528,294)$ | $(24,007,217)$ | $(2,478,923)$ |
| 1,300,000,000 Japanese Yen | BNY | 5/19/11 | $(14,093,210)$ | $(15,612,774)$ | $(1,519,564)$ |
| 4,500,000,000 Japanese Yen | JPM | 6/28/11 | $(49,961,141)$ | $(54,082,856)$ | $(4,121,715)$ |
| 2,000,000,000 Japanese Yen | NTC | 9/20/11 | $(24,017,965)$ | $(24,072,968)$ | $(55,003)$ |
| 415,000,000 Mexican Peso. | NTC | 10/22/10 | $(30,060,919)$ | $(33,064,129)$ | $(3,003,210)$ |
| 400,000,000 Mexican Peso. | SSB | 11/1/10 | $(28,647,139)$ | $(31,843,500)$ | $(3,196,361)$ |
| 700,000,000 Mexican Peso. | SSB | 1/18/11 | (52,320,801) | (55,275,213) | (2,954,412) |
| 230,000,000 Mexican Peso. | BNY | 2/11/11 | $(17,062,947)$ | (18,119,012) | (1,056,065) |
| 350,000,000 Mexican Peso. | JPM | 5/10/11 | $(27,358,712)$ | $(27,323,077)$ | 35,635 |
| 330,000,000 Mexican Peso. | CIT | 5/31/11 | $(24,287,029)$ | $(25,703,133)$ | $(1,416,104)$ |
| 240,000,000 Mexican Peso. | NTC | 6/1/11 | $(17,452,642)$ | $(18,691,162)$ | $(1,238,520)$ |
| 140,000,000 Norwegian Krone | BNY | 10/19/10 | $(24,343,169)$ | $(23,881,519)$ | 461,650 |
| 120,000,000 Norwegian Krone. | SSB | 2/8/11 | $(19,898,188)$ | $(20,347,049)$ | $(448,861)$ |
| 235,000,000 Norwegian Krone | BNY | 9/28/11 | $(39,084,589)$ | (39,350,233) | $(265,644)$ |
| 35,000,000 Singapore Dollar | SSB | 11/24/10 | $(25,191,636)$ | $(26,609,595)$ | $(1,417,959)$ |
| 23,000,000 Singapore Dollar | BNY | 6/15/11 | $(16,234,683)$ | $(17,487,417)$ | $(1,252,734)$ |
| 22,000,000,000 South Korean Won. | JPM | 3/31/11 | $(18,967,152)$ | $(19,153,493)$ | $(186,341)$ |
| 50,000,000,000 South Korean Won. | JPM | 5/9/11 | $(44,551,368)$ | $(43,482,273)$ | 1,069,095 |
| 20,000,000,000 South Korean Won. | JPM | 8/4/11 | $(16,556,291)$ | $(17,349,882)$ | $(793,591)$ |
| 20,000,000,000 South Korean Won. | BOA | 8/9/11 | $(16,645,859)$ | $(17,347,416)$ | $(701,557)$ |
| 45,000,000,000 South Korean Won. | JPM | 9/28/11 | $(38,535,646)$ | $(38,980,490)$ | $(444,844)$ |
| 77,000,000 Swiss Franc. | BOA | 10/5/10 | $(74,895,438)$ | (78,820,477) | $(3,925,039)$ |
| 70,000,000 Swiss Franc | BNY | 10/12/10 | $(68,086,762)$ | (71,659,755) | $(3,572,993)$ |
| 40,000,000 Swiss Franc | CIT | 10/15/10 | $(38,872,692)$ | $(40,949,601)$ | $(2,076,909)$ |
| 40,000,000 Swiss Franc. | JPM | 10/19/10 | $(39,104,507)$ | $(40,951,160)$ | $(1,846,653)$ |
| 75,000,000 Swiss Franc. | NTC | 2/8/11 | $(71,364,004)$ | $(76,872,617)$ | $(5,508,613)$ |
| 45,000,000 Swiss Franc. | CIT | 5/10/11 | $(41,981,528)$ | $(46,170,249)$ | $(4,188,721)$ |
| 47,000,000 Swiss Franc. | CIT | 8/23/11 | $(45,083,933)$ | $(48,283,119)$ | $(3,199,186)$ |
| 44,000,000 Swiss Franc. | NTC | 8/31/11 | $(43,168,150)$ | $(45,205,565)$ | $(2,037,415)$ |
| 325,000,000 Thailand Baht | BNY | 5/10/11 | $(9,970,854)$ | $(10,657,645)$ | $(686,791)$ |
| 325,000,000 Thailand Baht | JPM | 5/19/11 | $(10,000,000)$ | $(10,655,527)$ | $(655,527)$ |
| 290,000,000 Thailand Baht | BNY | 8/4/11 | $(8,917,589)$ | $(9,491,867)$ | $(574,278)$ |
| TOTAL |  |  | \$(2,627,783,064) | \$(2,717,926,933) | \$ $90,143,869$ ) |
| Unrealized Depreciation on Forward Contracts (Net) |  |  |  |  | \$(90,143,869) |

(a) Primary risk exposure being hedged against is currency risk.

Counterpary Abbreviations:
BOA - Bank of America
BNY - BNY Mellon
CIT - Citibank
JPM — JP Morgan Chase
NTC - Northern Trust
SSB - State Street

## Portfolio of Investments

September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)

## Shares

|  | Singapore-0.9\% |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| 66,000 | Fraser and Neave Ltd. $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 326,149 |
| 330,000 | Metro Holdings Ltd. $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 196,944 |
|  |  |  |

## Shares

| Value <br> (Note 2) |
| ---: |
|  |
| $\$ 93,134$ |
| $1,975,419$ |
| 961,556 |
| $1,971,564$ |
| $4,908,539$ |
| $1,467,828$ |
| 263,216 |
| $1,999,432$ |
| 522,863 |
| $4,253,339$ |

Hong Kong-1.4\%
28,500 Jardine Strategic Holdings Ltd. ......... 763,800

|  | Italy-3.1\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53,200 | Buzzi Unicem SPA | 562,875 |
| 65,400 | Davide Campari-Milano SPA | 391,736 |
| 29,100 | Marr SPA | 314,046 |
| 16,400 | Mediaset SPA | 116,425 |
| 62,000 | Sol SPA | 380,893 |
|  |  | 1,765,975 |


| Japan-5.9\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9,400 | Canon, Inc. | 438,269 |
| 22,000 | Daiwa Industries Ltd. | 105,602 |
| 22,900 | Honda Motor Company Ltd. | 812,218 |
| 19,200 | Milbon Company Ltd. | 530,907 |
| 20,000 | Nagase \& Company Ltd. | 226,718 |
| 13,600 | Nippon Kanzai Company Ltd. | 221,077 |
| 20,000 | Ryoyo Electro Corporation | 182,188 |
| 4,400 | SK Kaken Company Ltd. | 129,567 |
| 13,000 | T. Hasegawa Company Ltd. | 229,686 |
| 11,700 | Takata Corporation | 294,251 |
| 10,100 | Tomen Electronics Corporation | 120,779 |
|  |  | 3,291,262 |


|  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Mexico-1.2\% |  |  |
| 105,000 | Embotelladoras Arca SA de CV $\ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 429,009 |
| 80,000 | Grupo Continental SA $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$ | 232,891 |
|  |  | 661,900 |

Netherlands-7.2\%

| 23,300 | Akzo Nobel NV . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | $1,439,530$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 29,200 | Heineken Holding NV . . . . . . . . . . | $1,279,237$ |
| 45,000 | Unilever NV, CVA . . . . . . . . . . . . | $1,346,947$ |
|  |  | $4,065,714$ |

COMMON STOCKS—77.2\%
Finland-0.2\%
1,800 Kone Oyj, Class B ...................... . . \$93,134
France-8.7\%

| 106,200 | CNP Assurances | 1,975,419 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33,700 | Teleperformance | 961,556 |
| 38,200 | Total SA | 1,971,564 |
|  |  | 4,908,539 |
|  | Germany-7.6\% |  |
| 32,370 | Henkel AG \& Company, KGaA | 1,467,828 |
| 4,415 | Krones AG $\dagger$ | 263,216 |
| 14,415 | Muenchener RueckversicherungsGesellschaft AG | 1,999,432 |
| 3,950 | Springer (Axel) Verlag AG | 522,863 |
|  |  | 4,253,339 |

$\begin{array}{r}763,800 \\ \hline\end{array}$
119,500
Bangkok Bank Public Company Ltd., NVDR 614,234

United Kingdom-12.3\%
189,000 BAE Systems PLC ...................... . 1,019,456
14,600 British American Tobacco PLC ......... 546,292
53,000 Carclo PLC
141,144
94,600 Diageo PLC, Sponsored ADR .......... 1, . 633,811
63,500 GlaxoSmithKline PLC . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1,255,291
343,300 Hays PLC .............................. . 611,838
119,700 Headlam Group PLC . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 552,665
56,900 Provident Financial PLC . . . . . . . . . . . . . 738,821
200,000 TT Electronics PLC $\dagger \ldots \ldots$. . . . . . . . . . $\quad$ 449,102
6,948,420

|  | United States-8.8\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15,825 | Baxter International, Inc. | 755,011 |
| 12,450 | ConocoPhillips | 715,003 |
| 4,145 | Home Depot, Inc. | 131,314 |
| 24,600 | Johnson \& Johnson | 1,524,216 |
| 32,915 | Philip Morris International, Inc. | 1,843,898 |
|  |  | 4,969,442 |

Miscellaneous-2.9\%
Undisclosed securities *
1,632,496

## TOTAL COMMON STOCKS

(COST \$41,227,401) ................. . . 43,444,480
PREFERRED STOCKS—0.3\%

TOTAL PREFERRED STOCKS
(COST \$159,205)
182,699

## Portfolio of Investments

September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)

Shares $\qquad$ (Note 2)

REGISTERED INVESTMENT COMPANY-24.1\%
$13,561,834 \begin{gathered}\text { Dreyfus Government Prime Cash } \\ \text { Management } \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots\end{gathered} \$ 13,561,834$
TOTAL REGISTERED
INVESTMENT COMPANY
(COST \$13,561,834) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 13,561,834

| TOTAL INVESTMENTS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Cost \$54,948,440**) . | 101.6\% | 57,189,013 |
| OTHER ASSETS AND | ) (1.6) | (919,998) |
| NET ASSETS | 100.0\% | \$56,269,015 |

[^2]Abbreviations:
ADR - American Depositary Receipt
CVA - Certificaaten van aandelen (Share Certificates)
NVDR - Non Voting Depository Receipt

## Sector Diversification

September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)

Sector Diversification
COMMON STOCKS:

| Pharmaceuticals, Biotechnology \& Lif | 10.5\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| Insurance | 8.5 |
| Beverage | 7.1 |
| Capital Goods | 6.6 |
| Food | 6.5 |
| Energy | 5.3 |
| Materials | 5.1 |
| Household \& Personal Products | 4.4 |
| Tobacco | 4.2 |
| Technology Hardware \& Equipment | 3.7 |
| Commercial Services \& Supplies | 3.2 |
| Media | 2.3 |
| Retailing. | 2.0 |
| Automobiles \& Components | 2.0 |
| Health Care Equipment \& Services | 1.3 |
| Diversified Financials | 1.3 |
| Banks | 1.1 |
| Telecommunication Services. | 0.8 |
| Utilities | 0.7 |
| Food \& Staples Retailing | 0.6 |
| Total Common Stocks | 77.2 |
| Preferred Stocks | 0.3 |
| Registered Investment Company. | 24.1 |
| Other Assets and Liabilities (Net) | (1.6) |
| Net Assets. | 100.0\% |

## Portfolio Composition

September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)


Finland-0\% $\dagger$
$\dagger$ Amount represents less than 1\% of net assets

Portfolio of Investments
September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)


## Sector Diversification

September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)

Sector Diversification
COMMON STOCKS:

| Insurance | 14.9\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| Beverage. | 8.9 |
| Pharmaceuticals, Biotechnology \& Life Sciences. | 8.8 |
| Food. | 8.6 |
| Energy | 7.6 |
| Capital Goods | 5.6 |
| Diversified Financials | 4.5 |
| Media . | 4.4 |
| Tobacco | 3.7 |
| Health Care Equipment \& Services | 3.6 |
| Materials | 3.3 |
| Transportation | 3.3 |
| Food \& Staples Retailing | 3.2 |
| Household \& Personal Products | 2.5 |
| Software \& Services | 2.2 |
| Technology Hardware \& Equipment | 1.7 |
| Consumer Durables \& Apparel. | 1.5 |
| Automobiles \& Components | 1.1 |
| Retailing. | 1.1 |
| Telecommunication Services. | 0.9 |
| Real Estate | 0.5 |
| Total Common Stocks | 91.9 |
| Registered Investment Company. | 5.0 |
| U.S. Treasury Bill | 3.3 |
| Unrealized Depreciation on Forward Contracts (Net) | (0.3) |
| Other Assets and Liabilities (Net) | 0.1 |
| Net Assets. . | 100.0\% |

## Portfolio Composition <br> September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)



## Schedule of Forward Exchange Contracts

## September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)

| Contracts | Counterparty | Contract Value Date | Contract Value on Origination Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value } 9 / 30 / 10 \\ & (\text { Note 2) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Unrealized Gain (Loss) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FORWARD EXCHANGE CONTRACTS TO SELL (a) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13,500,000 European Union Euro | BNY | 11/24/10 | \$(19,950,437) | \$(18,422,678) | \$1,527,759 |
| 13,000,000 European Union Euro | NTC | 2/11/11 | $(18,116,020)$ | $(17,728,673)$ | 387,347 |
| 8,000,000 European Union Euro | BNY | 5/10/11 | $(10,638,240)$ | $(10,900,293)$ | $(262,053)$ |
| 4,000,000 European Union Euro | NTC | 5/19/11 | $(5,071,800)$ | $(5,449,605)$ | $(377,805)$ |
| 6,000,000 European Union Euro | CIT | 7/28/11 | $(7,742,880)$ | (8,168,064) | $(425,184)$ |
| 9,000,000 European Union Euro | CIT | 8/9/11 | $(11,750,220)$ | $(12,250,455)$ | $(500,235)$ |
| 1,200,000 Great Britain Pound Sterling | CIT | 11/24/10 | (2,004,300) | $(1,890,178)$ | 114,122 |
| 4,000,000 Great Britain Pound Sterling | SSB | 8/9/11 | $(6,220,480)$ | $(6,288,378)$ | $(67,898)$ |
| 1,500,000 Great Britain Pound Sterling | NTC | 8/31/11 | $(2,329,500)$ | $(2,357,723)$ | $(28,223)$ |
| 275,000,000 Japanese Yen | JPM | 2/10/11 | $(3,055,555)$ | $(3,297,449)$ | $(241,894)$ |
| 3,000,000,000 South Korean Won | JPM | 5/9/11 | $(2,673,082)$ | $(2,608,936)$ | 64,146 |
| 5,000,000 Swiss Franc. | JPM | 10/19/10 | (4,888,063) | $(5,118,895)$ | $(230,832)$ |
| 5,000,000 Swiss Franc. | NTC | 2/8/11 | $(4,757,600)$ | $(5,124,841)$ | $(367,241)$ |
| 3,500,000 Swiss Franc. | BOA | 7/28/11 | $(3,343,204)$ | $(3,594,428)$ | $(251,224)$ |
| 4,500,000 Swiss Franc. | JPM | 8/9/11 | $(4,342,787)$ | $(4,622,074)$ | $(279,287)$ |
| 3,500,000 Swiss Franc. | NTC | 8/31/11 | $(3,433,830)$ | $(3,595,897)$ | $(162,067)$ |
| TOTAL |  |  | \$(110,317,998) | \$(111,418,567) | \$(1,100,569) |
| Unrealized Depreciation on Forward Contracts (Net) |  |  |  |  | \$(1,100,569) |

[^3][^4]Portfolio of Investments
September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)

| Shares |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value } \\ & \text { (Note 2) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | COMMON STOCKS—85.5\% |  |
| 464,725 | Australia-1.0\% |  |
|  | Metcash Ltd. | \$1,966,371 |
|  | Canada-2.5\% |  |
| 118,400 | IGM Financial, Inc. | 4,824,409 |
|  | France-7.0\% |  |
| 366,300 | CNP Assurances | 6,813,523 |
| 132,795 | Total SA | 6,853,766 |
|  |  | 13,667,289 |
| 49,000 | Germany-3.5\% |  |
|  | Muenchener RueckversicherungsGesellschaft AG | 6,796,543 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 272,600 \\ & 246,275 \end{aligned}$ | Italy-3.9\% |  |
|  | Eni SPA | 5,891,218 |
|  | Mediaset SPA | 1,748,324 |
|  |  | 7,639,542 |
| 685,100 | Mexico-1.4\% |  |
|  | Embotelladoras Arca SA de CV | 2,799,183 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 47,880 \\ 159,109 \end{array}$ | Netherlands-3.9\% |  |
|  | Akzo Nobel NV | 2,958,142 |
|  | Unilever NV, CVA | 4,762,474 |
|  |  | 7,720,616 |
| 188,775 | South Korea-1.7\% |  |
|  | SK Telecom Company Ltd., ADR | 3,297,899 |
|  | Switzerland-10.8\% |  |
| 56,265 | Nestle SA, Registered | 3,014,968 |
| 109,500 | Novartis AG, Registered | 6,315,907 |
| 51,500 | Roche Holding AG | 7,074,364 |
| 20,400 | Zurich Financial Services AG | 4,808,967 |
|  |  | 21,214,206 |
|  | United Kingdom—14.8\% |  |
| 911,100 | BAE Systems PLC | 4,914,428 |
| 104,675 | British American Tobacco PLC | 3,916,653 |
| 362,000 | Diageo PLC, Sponsored ADR | 6,252,002 |
| 139,505 | GlaxoSmithKline PLC . | 2,757,785 |
| 235,800 | Pearson PLC | 3,661,849 |
| 139,000 | Provident Financial PLC | 1,804,854 |
| 2,272,400 | Vodafone Group PLC | 5,625,498 |
|  |  | 28,933,069 |
|  | United States-35.0\% |  |
| 144,900 | Arthur J. Gallagher \& Company | 3,821,013 |
| 166,650 | AT\&T, Inc. . | 4,766,190 |



[^5]
## Sector Diversification

September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)

| $\underline{\text { Sector Diversification }}$ | Percentage of Net Assets |
| :---: | :---: |
| COMMON STOCKS: |  |
| Pharmaceuticals, Biotechnology \& Life Sciences. | 11.7\% |
| Insurance . | 11.4 |
| Energy | 9.1 |
| Telecommunication Services. | 7.0 |
| Beverage. | 6.4 |
| Diversified Financials | 5.8 |
| Tobacco | 5.4 |
| Capital Goods | 4.5 |
| Retailing. | 4.0 |
| Food. | 4.0 |
| Utilities | 3.4 |
| Media. | 2.8 |
| Software \& Services | 2.5 |
| Household \& Personal Products | 2.5 |
| Food \& Staples Retailing | 2.3 |
| Materials | 1.5 |
| Consumer Services | 1.2 |
| Total Common Stocks | 85.5 |
| Registered Investment Company. | 3.9 |
| Treasury Bills | 11.6 |
| Other Assets and Liabilities (Net) | (1.0) |
| Net Assets. | 100.0\% |

## Portfolio Composition

September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)


Statements of Assets and Liabilities
September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Global Value } \\ \text { Fund } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Global Value Fund II Currency Unhedged (a) | Value <br> Fund | Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Investments, at cost. | \$2,954,774,899 | \$54,948,440 | \$277,358,374 | \$184,472,492 |
| Investments, at value (Note 2) | \$4,490,459,752 | \$57,189,013 | \$399,420,391 | \$197,726,682 |
| Cash.......... | 14 | - | - - |  |
| Foreign currency (b) | 176,283 | 7,632 | - | 53,429 |
| Dividends and interest receivable. | 7,475,503 | 110,916 | 670,880 | 552,286 |
| Receivable for investment securities sold | 12,633,823 | - - | - - | 117,001 |
| Recoverable foreign withholding taxes. | 9,134,379 | 33,980 | 322,948 | 117,001 |
| Receivable for Fund shares sold | 3,140,038 | 115,652 | 3,971 | 1,330,437 |
| Unrealized appreciation of forward exchange contracts (Note 2) | 24,496,844 | - | 2,093,374 | - |
| Prepaid expense. | 202,362 | 7,663 | 18,740 | 6,911 |
| Total Assets | 4,547,718,998 | 57,464,856 | 402,530,304 | 199,786,746 |

## LIABILITIES

Unrealized depreciation of forward exchange contracts
(Note 2) . . . . ......................
Payable for investment securities purchased.
Payable for Fund shares redeemed
$\qquad$

| $\$ 114,640,713$ |
| ---: |
| $21,376,299$ |
| $5,396,256$ |
| $2,799,726$ |
| 245,076 |
| 195,059 |
| 95,174 |
| $2,039,404$ |
| $146,787,707$ |
| $44,400,931,291$ |


| $\$ \quad-$ |
| ---: |
| $1,101,030$ |
| 18,056 |
| 27,851 |
| 5,512 |
| 1,624 |
| 1,276 |
| 40,492 |
| $1,195,841$ |
| $\$ 56,269,015$ |


| $\$ 3,193,943$ |
| ---: |
| $-\overline{2}$ |
| 354,296 |
| 42,966 |
| 10,095 |
| 8,752 |
| 63,053 |
| $3,944,343$ |
| $\$ 398,585,961$ |


| $\$ \quad$\$ |
| ---: |
| $3,787,995$ |
| 24,393 |
| 127,496 |
| 13,972 |
| 2,448 |
| 4,233 |
| 18,388 |
| $3,978,925$ |
| $\$ 195,807,821$ | |  |
| ---: |
| $10,589,545)$ |
| $13,266,670$ |
| 2,250 |
| $193,043,748$ |
| $\$ 195,807,821$ |
| $22,501,229$ |
| $\$ 88,70$ |

NET ASSETS consist of
Undistributed net investment income
Accumulated net realized gain (loss) on securities, forward exchange contracts and foreign currencies . . . . . . . . . . . . Net unrealized appreciation of securities, forward exchange contracts, foreign currencies and net other assets. . . . . . .
Par value

| $1,446,554,139$ |
| ---: |
| 19,497 |
| $2,784,076,159$ |
| $\$ 4,400,931,291$ |
| $194,968,635$ |
| $\$ 22.57$ |


| $\$ 47,958,795$ |
| ---: |
| $122,322,701$ |
| $1,446,554,139$ |
| 19,497 |
| $2,784,076,159$ |
| $4,400,931,291$ |
| $194,968,635$ |
| $\$ 22.57$ |


| \$3,405,859 | \$84,698 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 22,175,017 | $(10,589,545)$ |
| 120,986,977 | 13,266,670 |
| 2,129 | 2,250 |
| 252,015,979 | 193,043,748 |
| \$398,585,961 | \$195,807,821 |
| 21,293,509 | 22,501,229 |
| \$18.72 | \$8.70 |

[^6]Statements of Operations
For the Six Months Ended September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)

|  | Global Value Fund | Global Value <br> Fund II - <br> Currency <br> Unhedged | Value <br> Fund | Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INVESTMENT INCOME |  |  |  |  |
| Dividends. | \$77,709,378 | \$702,202 | \$5,931,420 | \$3,510,061 |
| Foreign withholding taxes. | $(8,771,155)$ | $(66,404)$ | $(505,407)$ | $(212,099)$ |
| Interest. | 210,138 | - | 10,424 | 9,364 |
| Total Investment Income | 69,148,361 | 635,798 | 5,436,437 | 3,307,326 |
| EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |
| Investment advisory fee (Note 3) | 26,272,720 | 283,888 | 2,426,320 | 1,007,411 |
| Custodian fees (Note 3) | 893,043 | 12,425 | 28,017 | 16,585 |
| Transfer agent fees (Note 3) | 686,877 | 17,873 | 121,458 | 37,830 |
| Administration and accounting fees (Note 3) | 565,112 | 7,471 | 53,442 | 22,994 |
| Legal and audit fees. | 284,796 | 8,504 | 30,626 | 9,909 |
| Directors' fees and expenses (Note 3) | 176,415 | 654 | 18,549 | 4,632 |
| Organizational expenses | - - | 39,373 | - | - |
| Other | 417,799 | 27,929 | 61,715 | 33,018 |
| Total Expenses before waivers | 29,296,762 | 398,117 | 2,740,127 | 1,132,379 |
| Less: Investment advisory fees waived and/or expens reimbursed (Note 3). | - | $(86,287)$ | - | $(27,294)$ |
| Net Expenses | 29,296,762 | 311,830 | 2,740,127 | 1,105,085 |
| NET INVESTMENT INCOME. | 39,851,599 | 323,968 | 2,696,310 | 2,202,241 |
| REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS) ON INVESTMENTS (Notes 2 and 4): |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Net realized gain (loss) on: |  |  |  |  |
| Securities | 103,139,970 | 34,582 | 9,185,870 | 1,868,468 |
| Forward exchange contracts (a) | 51,840,764 | (10,14) | 1,873,767 |  |
| Foreign currencies and net other assets. | $(306,469)$ | $(10,140)$ | $(11,454)$ | $(599,627)$ |
| Net realized gain on investments during the period | 154,674,265 | 24,442 | 11,048,183 | 1,268,841 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Forward exchange contracts (a) | $(114,744,346)$ | - | $(4,280,199)$ |  |
| Foreign currencies and net other assets. | 810,770 | 3,608 | 13,905 | 12,114 |
| Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments during the period. . . . . . . . . . | $(107,309,468)$ | 1,670,893 | (20,592,393) | 1,696,522 |
| NET REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN (LOSS) ON INVESTMENTS . . . . . . . . . . . | 47,364,797 | 1,695,335 | (9,544,210) | 2,965,363 |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN NET ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS. | \$87,216,396 | \$2,019,303 | \$(6,847,900) | \$5,167,604 |

[^7]TWEEDY, BROWNE FUND INC.

## Statements of Changes in Net Assets

|  | Global Value Fund |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Six Months } \\ & \text { Ended } \\ & 9 / 30 / 2010 \\ & \text { (Unaudited) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year Ended } \\ & 3 / 31 / 2010 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| INVESTMENT ACTIVITIES: <br> Net investment income | \$39,851,599 | \$63,378,883 |
| Net realized gain (loss) on securities, forward exchange contracts and currency transactions during the period | 154,674,265 | 403,909,314 |
| Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of securities, forward exchange contracts, foreign currencies and net other assets during the period | $(107,309,468)$ | 1,264,499,839 |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations | 87,216,396 | 1,731,788,036 |
| DISTRIBUTIONS: <br> Dividends to shareholders from net investment income | - | $(65,076,197)$ |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets from Fund share transactions | 7,848,538 | $(455,420,373)$ |
| Redemption Fees | 45,815 | 169,090 |
| Net increase (decrease) in net assets | 95,110,749 | 1,211,460,556 |
| NET ASSETS <br> Beginning of period | 4,305,820,542 | 3,094,359,986 |
| End of period | \$4,400,931,291 | \$4,305,820,542 |
| Undistributed (distributions in excess of) net investment income at end of period | \$47,958,795 | \$8,107,196 |

(a) The Tweedy, Browne Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged commenced operations on October 26, 2009.

| Global Value Fund II Currency Unhedged |  | Value Fund |  | Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Six Months } \\ & \text { Ended } \\ & 9 / 30 / 2010 \\ & \text { (Unaudited) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Period Ended $3 / 31 / 2010(\mathrm{a})$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Six Months } \\ \text { Ended } \\ 9 / 30 / 2010 \\ \text { (Unaudited) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Year Ended 3/31/2010 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Six Months } \\ & \text { Ended } \\ & 9 / 30 / 2010 \\ & \text { (Unaudited) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Year Ended $3 / 31 / 2010$ |
| \$323,968 | \$3,979 | \$2,696,310 | \$5,134,245 | \$2,202,241 | \$2,728,701 |
| 24,442 | $(2,358)$ | 11,048,183 | 22,027,289 | 1,268,841 | 4,891,428 |
| 1,670,893 | 573,210 | $(20,592,393)$ | 120,042,298 | 1,696,522 | 31,514,939 |
| 2,019,303 | 574,831 | $(6,847,900)$ | 147,203,832 | 5,167,604 | 39,135,068 |
| - | $(7,147)$ | - | $(4,497,188)$ | $(2,076,858)$ | $(2,849,813)$ |
| 19,670,564 | 34,005,329 | 2,390,477 | $(44,450,144)$ | 47,608,495 | 28,891,458 |
| 4,635 | 1,500 | - | - | 14,762 | 4,175 |
| 21,694,502 | 34,574,513 | $(4,457,423)$ | 98,256,500 | 50,714,003 | 65,180,888 |
| 34,574,513 | - | 403,043,384 | 304,786,884 | 145,093,818 | 79,912,930 |
| \$56,269,015 | \$34,574,513 | \$398,585,961 | \$403,043,384 | \$195,807,821 | \$145,093,818 |
| \$350,154 | \$26,186 | \$3,405,859 | \$709,549 | \$84,698 | \$(40,685) |

## Financial Highlights

## Tweedy, Browne Global Value Fund

For a Fund share outstanding throughout each period/year.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Six Months } \\ & \text { Ended } \\ & 9 / 30 / 10 \\ & \text { (Unaudited) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Year <br> Ended $3 / 31 / 10$ | Year <br> Ended $3 / 31 / 09$ | Year <br> Ended $3 / 31 / 08$ | Year <br> Ended $3 / 31 / 07$ | Year <br> Ended $3 / 31 / 06$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net asset value, beginning of period/year . | \$22.13 | \$14.15 | \$27.21 | \$32.31 | \$28.56 | \$24.08 |
| Income from investment operations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net investment income | 0.20 | 0.33 | 0.66(a)(b) | 0.50 | 0.47 | 0.34 |
| Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments . . | 0.24 | 7.98 | (10.90) | (2.24) | 4.06 | 4.51 |
| Total from investment operations. | 0.44 | 8.31 | (10.24) | (1.74) | 4.53 | 4.85 |
| Distributions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dividends from net investment income | - | (0.33) | (0.75) | (0.48) | (0.43) | (0.37) |
| Distributions from net realized gains. | - | - | (2.07) | (2.88) | (0.35) | - |
| Total distributions | - | (0.33) | (2.82) | (3.36) | (0.78) | (0.37) |
| Redemption fees (c) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Net asset value, end of period/year | \$22.57 | \$22.13 | \$14.15 | \$27.21 | \$32.31 | \$28.56 |
| Total return (d) | 1.99\% | 58.85\% | $\underline{\underline{(38.57)}}$ \% | (6.35) \% | 16.01\% | 20.24\% |
| Ratios/Supplemental Data: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net assets, end of period/year (in 000s) | \$4,400,931 | \$4,305,821 | \$3,094,360 | \$6,663,870 | \$8,323,689 | \$8,060,962 |
| Ratio of operating expenses to average net assets. | 1.39\%(e) | 1.40\% | 1.40\% | 1.37\% | 1.37\% | 1.38\% |
| Ratio of net investment income to average net assets | 1.90\%(e) | 1.62\% | 3.05\%(b) | 1.45\% | 1.53\% | 1.33\% |
| Portfolio turnover rate. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 5\% | 7\% | 16\% | 9\% | 13\% | 6\% |

(a) Net investment income per share was calculated using the average shares method.
(b) For year ended $3 / 31 / 09$, investment income per share reflects a special dividend which amounted to $\$ 0.14$ per share. Excluding the special dividend, the ratio of net investment income to average net assets would have been $2.42 \%$ per share.
(c) Amount represents less than $\$ 0.01$ per share.
(d) Total return represents aggregate total return for the periods indicated.
(e) Annualized.

## Tweedy, Browne Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged

For a Fund share outstanding throughout the period.

|  | Six Months Ended 9/30/10 (Unaudited) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \text { Ended } \\ 3 / 31 / 10(\mathrm{a}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net asset value, beginning of period | \$10.27 | \$10.00 |
| Income from investment operations: |  |  |
| Net investment income | 0.07 | 0.00(b) |
| Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments. . | 0.30 | 0.27 |
| Total from investment operations | 0.37 | 0.27 |
| Distributions: |  |  |
| Dividends from net investment income (b) | - | 0.00 |
| Distributions from net realized gains. | - | - |
| Total distributions (b) | - | 0.00 |
| Redemption fees (b) | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Net asset value, end of period | \$10.64 | \$10.27 |
| Total return (c) | 3.60\% | 2.74\% |
| Ratios/Supplemental Data: |  |  |
| Net assets, end of period (in 000s). | \$56,269 | \$34,575 |
| Ratio of operating expenses to average net assets. | 1.37\%(d) | 1.37\%(d) |
| Ratio of operating expenses to average net assets excluding waivers and/or reimbursement of expenses | 1.76\%(d) | 2.56\%(d) |
| Ratio of net investment income to average net assets ... | 1.43\%(d) | 0.04\%(d) |
| Portfolio turnover rate | 0.30\% | 0.00\% |
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## Financial Highlights

## Tweedy, Browne Value Fund

For a Fund share outstanding throughout each period/year.

|  | Six Months <br> Ended <br> $9 / 30 / 10$ <br> (Unaudited) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { Ended } \\ 3 / 31 / 10 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { Ended } \\ 3 / 31 / 09 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { Ended } \\ 3 / 31 / 08 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { Ended } \\ 3 / 31 / 07 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { Ended } \\ 3 / 31 / 06 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net asset value, beginning of period/year | \$19.03 | \$12.73 | \$20.90 | \$24.65 | \$24.27 | \$24.67 |
| Income from investment operations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net investment income. | 0.13 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.30(a) |
| Net realized and unrealized gain(loss) on investments | (0.44) | 6.27 | (6.22) | (1.43) | 2.38 | 1.49 |
| Total from investment operations | (0.31) | 6.51 | (6.04) | (1.21) | 2.59 | 1.79 |
| Distributions: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dividends from net investment income | - | (0.21) | (0.20) | (0.19) | (0.27) | (0.33) |
| Distributions from net realized gains. | - | - | (1.93) | (2.35) | (1.94) | (1.86) |
| Total distributions | - | (0.21) | (2.13) | (2.54) | (2.21) | (2.19) |
| Net asset value, end of period/year | \$18.72 | \$19.03 | \$12.73 | \$20.90 | \$24.65 | \$24.27 |
| Total return (b) | $(1.63) \%$ | 51.18\% | $\underline{\underline{(30.01)}}$ \% | (5.41) \% | 10.76\% | 7.41\% |
| Ratios/Supplemental Data: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net assets, end of period/year (in 000s) | \$398,586 | \$403,043 | \$304,787 | \$411,237 | \$515,527 | \$548,169 |
| Ratio of operating expenses to average net assets | 1.41\%(c) | 1.42\% | 1.41\% | 1.37\% | 1.38\% | 1.36\% |
| Ratio of net investment income to average net assets . | 1.39\%(c) | 1.40\% | 1.02\% | 0.83\% | 0.80\% | 1.08\%(a) |
| Portfolio turnover rate | 7\% | 11\% | 37\% | 11\% | 9\% | 9\% |
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## Tweedy, Browne Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund

For a Fund share outstanding throughout each period/year.

|  | Six Months Ended 9/30/10 (Unaudited) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { Ended } \\ 3 / 31 / 09 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } \\ \text { Ended } \\ 3 / 31 / 08(\mathrm{a}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net asset value, beginning of period/year | \$8.62 | \$6.09 | \$9.70 | \$10.00 |
| Income from investment operations: <br> Net investment income <br> Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) on investments. | $\begin{array}{r} 0.11 \\ 0.08 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.20 \\ & 2.53 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.22 \\ (3.57) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.10 \\ (0.37) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Total from investment operations. | 0.19 | 2.73 | (3.35) | (0.27) |
| Distributions: <br> Dividends from net investment income | (0.11) | (0.20) | (0.26) | (0.03) |
| Distributions from net realized gains. <br> Total distributions | $(0.11)$ | ${ }_{(0.20)}$ | (0.26) | (0.03) |
| Redemption fees (b) | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Net asset value, end of period/year | \$8.70 | \$8.62 | \$6.09 | \$9.70 |
| Total return (c) | 2.34\% | 45.19\% | (35.25)\% | (2.69) \% |
| Ratios/Supplemental Data: |  |  |  |  |
| Net assets, end of period/year (in 000s) ............... | \$195,808 | \$145,094 | \$79,913 | \$ 70,386 |
| Ratio of operating expenses to average net assets. | 1.37\%(d) | 1.37\% | 1.37\% | 1.37\%(d) |
| Ratio of operating expenses to average net assets excluding waivers and/or reimbursement of expenses ......... | $1.41 \%$ (d) | 1.46\% | 1.54\% | 1.86\%(d) |
| Ratio of net investment income to average net assets ... | 2.73\%(d) | 2.36\% | 2.99\% | 2.38\%(d) |
| Portfolio turnover rate ........................... | 16\% | 18\% | 38\% | 2\% |
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## Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited)

## 1. Organization

Tweedy, Browne Fund Inc. (the "Company") is an openend management investment company registered with the United States ("U.S.") Securities and Exchange Commission under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended. The Company was organized as a Maryland corporation on January 28, 1993. Tweedy, Browne Global Value Fund ("Global Value Fund"), Tweedy, Browne Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged ("Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged"), Tweedy, Browne Value Fund ("Value Fund"), and Tweedy, Browne Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund ("Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund"), (each a "Fund" and together, the "Funds"), are each a diversified series of the Company.

The Funds commenced operations as follows:

| Fund | Commencement of <br> Operations |
| :--- | ---: |
| Global Value Fund | $06 / 15 / 93$ |
| Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged | $10 / 26 / 09$ |
| Value Fund | $12 / 08 / 93$ |
| Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund | $09 / 05 / 07$ |

The Global Value Fund and Global Value Fund II Currency Unhedged seek long-term capital growth by investing primarily in foreign equity securities that Tweedy, Browne Company LLC ("Tweedy, Browne" or the "Investment Adviser") believes are undervalued. The Value Fund seeks longterm capital growth by investing primarily in U.S. and foreign equity securities that Tweedy, Browne believes are undervalued. The Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund seeks longterm capital growth by investing primarily in U.S. and foreign equity securities that Tweedy, Browne believes to have aboveaverage dividend yields and valuations that are reasonable.

## 2. Significant Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The following is a summary of significant accounting policies consistently followed by the Funds in the preparation of their financial statements.

Portfolio Valuation Portfolio securities and other assets, listed on a U.S. national securities exchange, comparable foreign securities exchange or through any system providing for contemporaneous publication of actual prices (and not subject to restrictions against sale by the Fund on such exchange or system) are valued at the last quoted sale price at or prior to the close of regular trading on the New York Stock Exchange or,
if applicable, the NASDAQ Official Closing Price ("NOCP"). Portfolio securities and other assets, which are readily marketable but for which there are no reported sales on the valuation date, whether because they are not traded in a system providing for same day publication of sales or because there were no sales reported on such date, are generally valued at the mean between the last asked price and the last bid price prior to the close of regular trading. Securities and other assets for which current market quotations are not readily available, and those securities which are generally not readily marketable due to significant legal or contractual restrictions, will be valued at fair value as determined by the Investment Adviser under the direction of the Board of Directors. Securities and other assets for which the most recent market quotations may not be reliable (including because the last sales price does not reflect current market value at the time of valuing the Funds' asset due to developments since such last price) may be valued at fair value if the Investment Adviser concluded that fair valuation will likely result in a more accurate net asset valuation. Debt securities purchased with a remaining maturity of more than 60 days are valued through pricing obtained by pricing services approved by the Funds' Board of Directors. Debt securities purchased with a remaining maturity of 60 days or less are valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value, or by reference to other factors (i.e., pricing services or dealer quotations) by the Investment Adviser.

Fair Value Measurements The inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value of the Funds' net assets are summarized into three levels as described in the hierarchy below:

- Level 1 - quoted prices in active markets for identical securities
- Level 2 - other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar securities, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)
- Level 3 - significant unobservable inputs (including the Fund's own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities. A summary of the inputs used to value the Funds' assets carried at fair value as of September 30, 2010 is as follows:

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited)


Equity Securities

| Common Stocks |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Canada | \$47,470,390 | \$47,470,390 | \$- | \$- |
| Czech Republic | 1,453,252 | 1,453,252 | - | - |
| Finland | 129,353,302 | 129,353,302 | - | - |
| France | 294,618,027 | 294,618,027 | - | - |
| Germany | 649,545,435 | 649,545,435 | - | - |
| Hong Kong | 53,667,000 | 53,667,000 | - | - |
| Ireland | 91,031 | - | - | 91,031 |
| Italy | 87,682,394 | 86,790,865 | 891,529 | - |
| Japan | 285,787,263 | 284,991,872 | 795,391 | - |
| Mexico | 224,678,236 | 224,678,236 | - | - |
| Netherlands | 426,309,965 | 426,309,965 | - | - |
| Norway | 85,237,509 | 85,237,509 | - | - |
| Singapore | 98,833,010 | 98,833,010 | - | - |
| South Korea | 154,451,285 | 154,451,285 | - | - |
| Spain | 75,208,453 | 75,208,453 | - | - |
| Sweden | 366,197 | 366,197 | , - | - |
| Switzerland | 691,919,904 | 668,860,752 | 23,059,152 | - |
| Thailand | 40,880,738 | 40,880,738 | - | - |
| United Kingdom | 318,789,748 | 318,789,748 | - | - |
| United States | 343,435,041 | 343,435,041 | - | - |
| Preferred Stocks | 11,747,752 | 11,747,752 | - | - |
| Registered Investment Company | 347,814,470 | 347,814,470 | - | - |
| Convertible Corporate Bond |  |  |  |  |
| Switzerland | 6,132,361 | - | 6,132,361 | - |
| U.S. Treasury Bill | 114,986,989 | - - | 114,986,989 | - |
| Total Investments in Securities | 4,490,459,752 | 4,344,503,299 | 145,865,422 | 91,031 |


| Other Financial Instruments: |
| :--- |
| Asset |
| Unrealized appreciation of forward exchange contracts $\ldots \ldots$ |
| Liability |
| Unrealized depreciation of forward exchange contracts $\ldots \ldots$ |
| $\quad$ Total |


|  | Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Level 2 |  |
|  |  |  | Other | Level 3 |
|  | Value at | Quoted | Observable | Unobservable |
|  | September 30, 2010 | Price | Inputs | Inputs |
| Investments in Securities* | \$57,189,013 | \$57,189,013 | \$- | \$- |
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|  | Value Fund |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Value at September 30, 2010 | Level 1 <br> Quoted Price | Level 2 Other Significant Observable Inputs | Level 3 Significant Unobservable Inputs |
| Investments in Securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Equity Securities |  |  |  |  |
| Common Stocks* | \$366,309,488 | \$366,309,488 | \$- | \$- |
| Registered Investment Company | 20,114,465 | 20,114,465 | - | - |
| U.S. Treasury Bill | 12,996,438 | - | 12,996,438 | - |
| Total Investments in Securities | 399,420,391 | 386,423,953 | 12,996,438 | - |
| Other Financial Instruments: |  |  |  |  |
| Asset |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized appreciation of forward exchange contracts | 2,093,374 | - | 2,093,374 | - |
| Liability |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized depreciation of forward exchange contracts | $(3,193,943)$ | - | $(3,193,943)$ | - |
| Total | \$398,319,822 | \$386,423,953 | \$11,895,869 | \$- |

* See Portfolio of Investments for country breakout.

|  | Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |

* See Portfolio of Investments for country breakout.

The following is a reconciliation of the Global Value Fund's Level 3 investments for which significant unobservable inputs were used to determine fair value.

|  | Equity Securities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Ireland |
| Balance as of March 31, 2010 | \$90,224 | \$90,224 |
| Accrued discounts/premiums | - | - |
| Realized gain (loss) | - | - |
| Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) | 807 | 807 |
| Net purchases (sales) | - | - |
| Transfer in and/or out of Level 3 | - | - |
| Balance as of September 30, 2010 | \$91,031 | \$91,031 |

The net unrealized gains presented in the table above relate to investments that were held during the six months ended September 30, 2010. The Global Value Fund presents these gains on the Statements of Operations as net unrealized appreciation of securities.

At the end of each calendar quarter, management evaluates the pricing inputs used for Level 1 and 2 assets. As of September 30,2010 , securities with an end of period value of $\$ 24,233,251$ held by the Global Value Fund were transferred from Level 1 into Level 2 due to low trading volume. As of that date, securities with an end of period value of $\$ 8,717,850, \$ 129,567$ and $\$ 1,492,310$ held by the Global Value Fund, Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged and Value Fund, respectively, were transferred from Level 2 into Level 1, due to higher trading volume.

## Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Foreign Currency The books and records of the Funds are maintained in U.S. dollars. Foreign currencies, investments and other assets and liabilities are translated into U.S. dollars at the exchange rates prevailing at the end of the period, and purchases and sales of investment securities, income and expenses are translated on the respective dates of such transactions. Unrealized gains and losses from investments in securities which result from changes in foreign currency exchange rates have been included in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of Securities. All other unrealized gains and losses which result from changes in foreign currency exchange rates have been included in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of foreign currencies and net other assets. Net realized foreign currency gains and losses resulting from changes in exchange rates include foreign currency gains and losses between trade date and settlement date on investments, securities transactions, foreign currency transactions and the difference between the amounts of interest and dividends recorded on the books of the Funds and the amount actually received. The portion of foreign currency gains and losses related to fluctuation in the exchange rates between the initial purchase trade date and subsequent sale trade date is included in realized gains and losses on investment securities sold.

Forward Exchange Contracts The Global Value Fund and Value Fund are subject to foreign currency exchange risk in the normal course of pursuing their investment objectives and may enter into forward exchange contracts for non-trading purposes in order to reduce their exposure to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange on their portfolio holdings. Forward exchange contracts are valued at the forward rate and are marked-to-market daily. The change in market value is recorded by each of the Funds as an unrealized gain or loss. When the contract is closed, the Funds record a realized gain or loss equal to the difference between the value of the contract at the time that it was opened and the value of the contract at the time that it was closed.

The use of forward exchange contracts does not eliminate fluctuations in the underlying prices of the Funds' investment securities, but it does establish a rate of exchange that can be achieved in the future. Although forward exchange contracts limit the risk of loss due to a decline in the value of the hedged currency, they also limit any potential gain that might result should the value of the currency increase. In addition, the Funds could be exposed to risks if the counterparties to the contracts are unable to meet the terms of their contracts.

For open contracts at September 30, 2010, see the Schedule of Investments, which is also indicative of activity for the six months ended September 30, 2010.

Securities Transactions and Investment Income Securities transactions are recorded as of the trade date. Realized gains and losses from securities transactions are
recorded on the identified cost basis. Dividend income and distributions to shareholders are recorded on the ex-dividend date. In the case of certain foreign securities, dividend income is recorded as soon after the ex-date as the Funds become aware of such dividend. Large nonrecurring dividends recognized by a Fund are presented separately on the Statement of Operations as "special dividends" and the impact of these dividends to net investment income per share is presented in the financial highlights. Interest income is recorded on the accrual basis. Dividend income and interest income may be subject to foreign withholding taxes. The Funds' custodian applies for refunds on behalf of each Fund where available.

Tweedy, Browne is reimbursed by the Funds for the cost of settling transactions in U.S. securities for the Funds through its clearing broker. For the six months ended September 30, 2010, Global Value Fund, Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged, Value Fund and Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund reimbursed Tweedy, Browne \$165, \$150, \$270 and $\$ 540$, respectively, for such transaction charges.

Dividends and Distributions to Shareholders Dividends from net investment income, if any, will be declared and paid annually for the Global Value Fund, Global Value Fund II Currency Unhedged, and Value Fund and semi-annually for the Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund. Distributions from realized capital gains after utilization of capital loss carryforwards, if any, will be declared and paid annually for each of the Funds. Additional distributions of net investment income and capital gains from the Funds may be made at the discretion of the Board of Directors in order to avoid the application of a $4 \%$ non-deductible federal excise tax on certain undistributed amounts of ordinary income and capital gains. Income dividends and capital gain distributions are determined in accordance with income tax regulations which may differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. These differences are primarily due to differing treatments of income and gains on various investment securities held by the Funds, timing differences and differing characterization of distributions made by the Funds.

The character of distributions paid on a tax basis during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010 is as follows:
$\left.\begin{array}{lccrc}\hline & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Global Value } \\ \text { Fund II - }\end{array} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Worldwide } \\ \text { High } \\ \text { Dividend }\end{array} \\ \text { Currency } \\ \text { Yield }\end{array}\right]$
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As of March 31, 2010, the components of distributable earnings on a tax basis were as follows:

|  |  | Global <br> Value <br> Fund II <br> Currency |  | Worldwide <br> High <br> Dividend <br> Yield |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Global <br> Value Fund | Unhedged | Value Fund | Value Fund |
| Undistributed <br> ordinary income | $\$ 8,555,455$ | $\$ 26,572$ | $\$ 737,654$ | $\$ 433,073$ |
| Undistributed <br> realized gain | - | - | $14,306,464$ | - |
| Unrealized <br> appreciation/ <br> (depreciation) | $1,530,178,190$ | 573,287 | $138,388,116$ | $11,569,781$ |
| Accumulated <br> capital and <br> other losses | $(8,951,236)$ | $(2,357)$ | $(28,105)$ | $(12,278,820)$ |
| Total | $\$ 1,529,782,409$ | $\$ 597,502$ | $\$ 153,404,129$ | $\$(275,966)$ |

Federal Income Taxes Each Fund has qualified and intends to continue to qualify as a regulated investment company by complying with the requirements of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, applicable to regulated investment companies and by distributing substantially all of its taxable income to its shareholders. Therefore, no federal income tax provision is required.

Reclassifications are recorded to the Funds' capital accounts for any permanent tax differences to reflect income and gains available for distribution (or available capital loss carryforwards) under income tax regulations. For the year ended March 31, 2010, permanent book and tax basis differences resulting primarily from differing treatments for foreign currency transactions and equalization were identified and reclassified among the components of each Fund's net assets as follows:

|  | Global <br> Value |  | Worldwide <br> High <br> Fund II <br> Currency <br> Uividend <br> Unhedged | Value Fund |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Gilobal <br> Value Fund | Valund |  |  |  |
| Undistributed <br> ordinary income | $\$(187,424)$ | $\$ 29,354$ | $\$ 20,585$ | $\$ 582,295$ |
| Undistributed net <br> realized gain (loss) | 187,424 | 2,357 | $(20,585)$ | $(582,295)$ |
| Paid-in capital | - | $(31,711)$ | - | - |

Results of operations and net assets were not affected by these reclassifications.

As of March 31, 2010, the Global Value Fund had a capital loss carryforward of $\$ 8,502,977$, expiring in 2018 and the Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund had a capital loss carryforward of $\$ 11,858,386$, of which $\$ 3,065,719$ expires in 2017 and $\$ 8,792,667$ expires in 2018, which may be available to reduce future net realized gains on investments, if any, to the extent permitted by the Internal Revenue Code.

Net capital and foreign currency losses incurred after October 31 may be deferred and treated as occurring on the first day of the following fiscal year. Post-October capital losses are
available to offset future realized capital gains and thereby reduce future capital gains distributions. Post-October foreign currency losses will offset future net investment income and thereby reduce future ordinary income distributions. For the year ended March 31, 2010, the Funds deferred to April 1, 2010 post-October capital and currency losses of:

| Fund | Capital Losses | Foreign <br> Currency Losses |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Global Value Fund | $\$-$ | $\$ 448,259$ |
| Global Value Fund II - | - | 2,357 |
| $\quad$ Currency Unhedged | - | 28,105 |
| Value Fund | - | 420,434 |
| Worldwide High Dividend | Yield Value Fund |  |

The Funds are not aware of any events that are reasonably possible to occur in the next twelve months that would result in the amounts of any unrecognized tax benefits significantly increasing or decreasing for the Funds. However, the Funds' conclusions may be subject to future review based on changes in, or the interpretation of, the accounting standards or tax laws and regulations. Each of the Funds' federal tax returns for the prior three fiscal years remains subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service.

Expenses Expenses directly attributable to each Fund as a diversified series of the Company are charged to such Fund. Other expenses of the Company are allocated to each series based on the average net assets of each series or other equitable allocation method. Depending on their nature, costs to organize and offer the Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged were either expensed as incurred or capitalized and amortized to expense, on a straight line basis, over the first twelve months of the Fund's operations.

## 3. Investment Advisory Fee, Other Related Party Transactions and Administration Fee

The Company, on behalf of each Fund, has entered into separate investment advisory agreements with Tweedy, Browne (each, an "Advisory Agreement"). Under each Advisory Agreement, the Company pays Tweedy, Browne a fee at the annual rate of $1.25 \%$ of the value of each Fund's average daily net assets. The fee is payable monthly, provided each Fund will make such interim payments as may be requested by the Investment Adviser not to exceed $75 \%$ of the amount of the fee then accrued on the books of the Fund and unpaid. For the six months ended September 30, 2010, Tweedy, Browne received $\$ 26,272,720$, $\$ 283,888, \$ 2,426,320$ and $\$ 1,007,411$ for Global Value Fund, Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged, Value Fund and Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund, respectively. In the six month period ended September 30, 2010, Tweedy, Browne waived $\$ 86,287$ and $\$ 27,294$ for Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged and Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund, respectively.
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The Adviser has contractually agreed to waive its investment advisory fee and/or to reimburse expenses of the Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged and the Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund to the extent necessary to maintain the total annual fund operating expenses for each Fund (excluding fees and expenses from investments in other investment companies, brokerage, interest, taxes and extraordinary expenses) at no more than $1.37 \%$ of each Fund's average daily net assets. This arrangement will continue at least through December 31, 2011. In this arrangement, Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged and Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund have agreed, during the two-year period following any waiver or reimbursement by the Adviser, to repay such amount to the extent that after giving effect to such repayment such adjusted total annual fund operating expenses would not exceed $1.37 \%$ of each Fund's average daily net assets on an annualized basis. At September 30, 2010, the amount of potential recovery expiring March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2013 on Global Value II - Currency Unhedged was $\$ 106,135$ and $\$ 86,287$, respectively. At September 30, 2010, the amount of potential recovery expiring March 31, 2011, March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2013 on Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund was $\$ 124,612, \$ 109,158$ and $\$ 27,294$, respectively.

As of September 30, 2010, the current and retired managing directors and their families, as well as employees of Tweedy, Browne, have approximately $\$ 89.7$ million, $\$ 2.6$ million, $\$ 48.2$ million and $\$ 3.6$ million of their own money invested in Global Value Fund, Global Value Fund II Currency Unhedged, Value Fund and Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund, respectively.

The Company, on behalf of the Funds, has entered into an administration agreement (the "Administration Agreement") with BNY Mellon Investment Servicing (US) Inc. ("BNY Mellon"), an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation. Under the Administration Agreement, the Company pays the Administrator an administration fee and a fund accounting fee computed daily and payable monthly at the following annual rates of the aggregate average daily net assets of the Funds, allocated according to each Funds' net assets:

|  | Up to \$1 Billion | Between \$1 Billion and \$5 Billion | Between \$5 Billion and \$10 Billion | Exceeding \$10 Billion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administration Fees | 0.0300\% | 0.0180\% | 0.0100\% | 0.0090\% |
| Accounting Fees | 0.0075\% | 0.0060\% | 0.0050\% | 0.0040\% |

No officer, director or employee of Tweedy, Browne, the Administrator or any parent or subsidiary of those corporations receives any compensation from the Company for serving as a director or officer of the Company. Effective January 2010, the Company pays each Non-Interested Director \$100,000 annually, to be paid quarterly in $\$ 25,000$ increments plus out-of-pocket expenses for their services as directors. The annual
fee of $\$ 100,000$ paid to each Non-Interested Director is divided proportionately between the Funds. The current allocation ratio of the annual fee is based on the average net assets of the Funds. Total Directors' fees paid by Global Value Fund, Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged, Value Fund and Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund for the six months ended September 30, 2010, excluding any out-of-pocket expenses, were $\$ 176,300, \$ 650, \$ 18,450$ and $\$ 4,600$, respectively.

Bank of New York Mellon Asset Servicing, an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of The Bank of New York Mellon Corporation, serves as the Funds' custodian pursuant to a custody agreement (the "Custody Agreement"). BNY Mellon also serves as the Funds' transfer agent. Tweedy, Browne also serves as the distributor to the Funds and pays all distribution fees. No distribution fees are paid by the Funds.

## 4. Securities Transactions

Cost of purchases and proceeds from sales of investment securities, excluding short-term investments, for the six months ended September 30, 2010, are as follows:

|  | Global <br> Value Fund | Global Value <br> Fund II - <br> Currency <br> Unhedged | Value Fund | Worldwide <br> High <br> Dividend Yield <br> Value Fund |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purchases | \$310,646,390 | \$17,974,227 | \$30,102,630 | \$62,303,518 |
| Sales | 183,687,859 | 101,193 | 22,517,704 | 22,287,195 |

The aggregate gross unrealized appreciation/(depreciation) and net unrealized appreciation/(depreciation) as computed on a federal income tax basis, at September 30, 2010 for each Fund is as follows:

|  | Gross <br> Appreciation | Gross <br> (Depreciation) | Net <br> Appreciation |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: |
| Global Value Fund | $\$ 1,655,420,668$ | $\$(119,735,815)$ | $\$ 1,535,684,853$ |
| Global Value Fund II - <br> Currency Unhedged | $3,461,274$ | $(1,220,701)$ | $2,240,573$ |
| Value Fund | $126,011,253$ | $(3,949,236)$ | $122,062,017$ |
| Worldwide High Dividend <br> Yield Value Fund | $16,629,681$ | $(3,375,491)$ | $13,254,190$ |

## 5. Capital Stock

The Company is authorized to issue 2.0 billion shares of $\$ 0.0001$ par value capital stock, of which $600,000,000$, $600,000,000,400,000,000$ and $400,000,000$ of the unissued shares have been designated as shares of the Global Value Fund, Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged, Value Fund and Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund, respectively. Redemptions from the Global Value Fund, Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged and the Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund, including exchange redemptions, within 60 days of purchase are subject to a redemption fee equal to $2 \%$ of the redemption proceeds, which will be retained by each Fund.

## Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Changes in shares outstanding for the six months ended September 30, 2010 were as follows:

| Global Value Fund |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shares | Amount |
| Sold | 14,409,971 | \$310,843,310 |
| Reinvested | 14,4, | - |
| Redeemed | $(13,983,490)$ | (302,994,772) |
| Net Increase | 426,481 | \$7,848,538 |
| Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged |  |  |
|  | Shares | Amount |
| Sold | 2,435,277 | \$24,809,745 |
| Reinvested | - | - |
| Redeemed | $(515,917)$ | $(5,139,181)$ |
| Net Increase | 1,919,360 | \$19,670,564 |
| Value Fund |  |  |
|  | Shares | Amount |
| Sold | 1,744,167 | \$32,207,005 |
| Reinvested | - | - |
| Redeemed | $(1,630,042)$ | $(29,816,528)$ |
| Net Increase | 114,125 | \$2,390,477 |
| Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund |  |  |
|  | Shares | Amount |
| Sold | 7,266,688 | \$60,849,477 |
| Reinvested | 253,431 | 1,959,020 |
| Redeemed | $(1,854,364)$ | $(15,200,002)$ |
| Net Increase | 5,665,755 | \$47,608,495 |

Changes in shares outstanding for the year ended March 31, 2010 were as follows:

| Global Value Fund |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shares | Amount |
| Sold | 16,183,502 | \$310,820,977 |
| Reinvested | 2,847,795 | 60,316,177 |
| Redeemed | $(43,193,564)$ | (826,557,527) |
| Net Decrease | $(24,162,267)$ | \$(455,420,373) |
| Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged* |  |  |
|  | Shares | Amount |
| Sold | 3,729,320 | \$37,683,306 |
| Reinvested | 695 | 7,077 |
| Redeemed | $(362,782)$ | $(3,685,054)$ |
| Net Increase | 3,367,233 | \$34,005,329 |
| Value Fund |  |  |
|  | Shares | Amount |
| Sold | 2,194,770 | \$34,928,495 |
| Reinvested | 231,562 | 4,242,285 |
| Redeemed | $(5,193,075)$ | $(83,620,924)$ |
| Net Decrease | $(2,766,743)$ | \$(44,450,144) |

[^12]|  | Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
|  | Shares | Amount |
| Sold | $5,640,817$ | $\$ 44,099,433$ |
| Reinvested | 357,131 | $2,731,743$ |
| Redeemed | $(2,280,415)$ | $(17,939,718)$ |
| Net Increase | $3,717,533$ | $\$ 28,891,458$ |

## 6. Foreign Securities

Investing in securities of foreign companies and foreign governments involves economic and political risks and considerations not typically associated with investing in U.S. companies and the U.S. Government. These considerations include changes in exchange rates and exchange rate controls (which may include suspension of the ability to transfer currency from a given country), costs incurred in conversions between currencies, non-negotiable brokerage commissions, less publicly available information, not generally being subject to uniform standards, practices and requirements with respect to accounting, auditing and financial reporting, lower trading volume, delayed settlements and greater market volatility, the difficulty of enforcing obligations in other countries, less securities regulation, different tax provisions (including withholding on dividends paid to a Fund), war, seizure, political and social instability and diplomatic developments.

## 7. Securities Lending

The Funds may lend securities to brokers, dealers and other financial organizations to earn additional income. Each security out on loan is collateralized with segregated assets held with the borrower in an amount equal to or greater than the current market value of the loaned securities. At September 30, 2010, the Funds did not have any securities out on loan.

## 8. New Accounting Pronouncement

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2010-06, "Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements." ASU No. 2010-06 amends FASB Accounting Standards Codification Topic 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures," to require additional disclosures regarding fair value measurements. Certain disclosures required by ASU No. 2010-06 are currently effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, and other required disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. Management has evaluated the impact and has incorporated the appropriate disclosures required by ASU No. 2010-06 in its financial statement disclosures.

## 9. Subsequent Events

Management has evaluated the impact of all subsequent events on the Funds through the date that the financial statements were issued and has determined that there were no subsequent events requiring recognition or disclosure in the financial statements.
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## 10. Portfolio Information

The Company files the Funds' complete schedule of portfolio holdings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. The Company's Form N-Q is available (1) on the SEC's website at http://www.sec.gov; (2) for review and copying at the SEC's Public Reference Room ("PRR") in Washington, DC; or (3) by calling the Fund at 1-800-432-4789 or by visiting the Fund's website at www.tweedy.com. Information regarding the operation of the PRR may be obtained by calling 1-202-551-8090.

## 11. Proxy Voting Information

The policies and procedures that the Company uses to determine how to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities held by the Funds are included in the Company's Statement of Additional Information, which is available without charge and upon request by calling the Fund at 1-800-432-4789 or by visiting the Funds' website at www.tweedy.com. Information regarding how the Funds voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent twelve-month period ended June 30 is available, without charge, at http://www.sec.gov.

## 12. Advisory Agreements

Approval of the Renewal of the Investment Advisory Agreements for the Tweedy, Browne Global Value Fund, Tweedy, Browne Value Fund and Tweedy, Browne Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund

On May 20, 2010, the Company's Board of Directors (the "Board"), including a majority of the Independent Directors, approved the renewal of the Advisory Agreements between Tweedy, Browne and the Company on behalf of the Global Value Fund, the Value Fund and the Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund for an additional one-year term. In considering whether to approve the continuance of the Advisory Agreements, the Board reviewed materials provided for its evaluation, and the Independent Directors were advised by independent legal counsel with respect to these and other relevant matters. The information, material factors and conclusions that formed the basis for the Board's approval are described below.

## A. Information Received

During the course of each year, the Board receives a wide variety of materials relating to the services provided by Tweedy, Browne. In considering whether to approve the renewal of the Advisory Agreements, the Board reviewed reports on each Fund's investment results, portfolio composition, and portfolio trading practices, as well as other information relating to the nature, extent and quality of services provided by Tweedy, Browne to the Funds. In addition, the Board reviewed supplementary information, including comparative industry data with regard to advisory fees and expenses; financial and profitability information regarding Tweedy, Browne; sample
reports demonstrating Tweedy, Browne's extensive research process; fact sheets and performance histories for each of the Funds since inception; information for several of Tweedy, Browne's managed account performance composites; fee schedules; information regarding fees paid to intermediaries; information about the key personnel of Tweedy, Browne; and information concerning Tweedy, Browne's brokerage services and best execution policy.

In addition to reviewing and evaluating the list of materials described above, the Independent Directors also received assistance and advice regarding legal and industry standards from independent counsel to the Independent Directors. In deciding to recommend the renewal of the Advisory Agreements, the Board did not identify any single factor or particular information that, in isolation, was controlling. This summary describes the most important, but not all, of the factors considered by the Board.

## B. Nature, Extent and Quality of Services

The Board reviewed materials concerning the depth and quality of Tweedy, Browne's investment management process. The Board considered a variety of services provided by Tweedy, Browne to the Funds over the past year, including: providing "behind the scenes" services, such as those provided by Tweedy, Browne's order desk, which seeks best prices and execution; monitoring the Funds' service providers and performing shadowing functions; monitoring information with regard to corporate reorganizations involving the Funds' portfolio companies; preparing the Funds' semi-annual and annual reports to shareholders; monitoring certain aspects of transfer agency services on a daily basis; assisting brokers, consultants, financial advisors, intermediaries and third party administrators with questions or problems of an operational nature; developing and enforcing procedures to monitor trading activity in the Funds; monitoring the collection of redemption fees for the Global Value Fund and Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund; arranging for proxy voting of portfolio securities; actively monitoring and assessing valuation issues for the Funds; and preparing various regulatory filings for the Funds.

In addition, the Board noted that Tweedy, Browne provides a wide variety of administrative services not otherwise provided by third party service providers, including: preparing Board reports; overseeing the preparation and submission of regulatory filings; assisting with the preparation and filing of the Funds' tax returns; monitoring the registration of shares of the Funds under applicable federal and state securities laws; assisting in the resolution of accounting and legal issues; establishing and monitoring the Funds' operating budgets; processing the payment of the Funds' bills; assisting the Funds in, and otherwise arranging for, the payment of distributions and dividends; communicating with the Funds' shareholders through market commentary; participating in ongoing training and weekly monitoring of PNC Global Investment Servicing (U.S.) Inc.'s (now known as BNY Mellon Investment
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Servicing (US) Inc.) shareholder services representatives; and generally assisting each Fund in the conduct of its business.

The Board then noted that Tweedy, Browne also serves as the Funds' distributor and that it acts as the Funds' introducing broker for substantially all transactions in U.S. equity securities, for which it is reimbursed by the Funds only for settlement costs. The Board noted that Tweedy, Browne does not charge the Funds any separate brokerage commissions for such services, and the Board concluded that this arrangement benefits the Funds and their shareholders by protecting the confidentiality of the Funds' trading positions. The Board also considered Tweedy, Browne's commitment to staff development and long-term and contingency planning with regard to its advisory business. The Board noted that notwithstanding the current market environment, Tweedy, Browne has not cut back on personnel or resources.

In considering Tweedy, Browne's services, both in managing the Funds' portfolios and in overseeing all aspects of the Funds' business, the Board concluded that Tweedy, Browne was providing essential services to the Funds, and that it is likely that Tweedy, Browne will continue to be in a position to do so for the long-term. Ultimately, the Board concluded that the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by Tweedy, Browne have benefited and likely will continue to benefit the Funds and their shareholders.

## C. Investment Performance

The Board examined the short-term and long-term investment performance of each Fund, both in absolute terms and relative to the performance of perceived direct competitors pursuing comparable investment objectives, as well as to the various benchmarks against which the Funds were compared. In considering the Global Value Fund's performance, the Board observed that the Fund had exhibited excellent absolute and relative performance, noting that the Fund's annualized rate of return of $10.22 \%$ from inception through March 31, 2010 exceeded the returns of the Morgan Stanley Capital International Europe, Australasia and Far East Index ("MSCI EAFE Index") in both U.S. dollars and hedged currency for the same period. The Board considered that, over the long-term, the Global Value Fund's performance had exceeded the performance of the majority of other funds in its peer group. The Board noted that the aggregate total return for the Global Value Fund for the 10 -year period ended March 31, 2010 exceeded the Morningstar average of all funds in the Foreign Stock Funds category by 440 basis points per year. It was also noted that for the past 3 -year, 5 -year and 10 -year periods the Global Value Fund has been categorized as "low risk" by Morningstar's Risk Ratings, which means it is in the top 10\% of funds within its category with respect to lowest measured risk.

The Board then considered the Value Fund's performance, noting that the Fund had enjoyed good relative performance in most measurement periods in comparison to its relevant
benchmark indices. In particular, the Board noted that as of March 31, 2010, the Value Fund's total returns outperformed the S\&P 500 Index over the past 1 -year, 3 -year, 5 -year, 10 -year, 15 -year and since-inception periods. The Board further noted that the Value Fund outperformed its peer group for the past 1year, 3 -year and 5 -year periods ending March 31, 2010. The Board also noted that the Value Fund has also been characterized as "low risk" for the last 3 -year, 5 -year and 10 -year periods by Morningstar's Risk Ratings.

The Board examined the performance of the Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund, noting that the Fund commenced operations on September 5, 2007. The Board noted that since the Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund's inception date, the Fund has lost $8.52 \%$ compared to a loss of $18.39 \%$ for the MSCI World Index (in U.S.\$). The Board examined data indicating that for the calendar year 2008, the Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund ranked in the top $12 \%$ of all World Stock Funds in the Morningstar Principia Pro database and ranked first in Lipper's Global Large Cap Value Category. It was noted during 2009, the Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund was up 28.18\% compared to a gain of $29.99 \%$ for the MSCI World Index (in U.S. \$). The Board then considered the long-term performance history of Tweedy, Browne's Global High Dividend Strategy, which has been implemented by Tweedy, Browne since 1979 and on which the Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund's investment strategy is based. Since 1979, the Global High Dividend Strategy has produced compounded returns at an annualized rate of return of $13.36 \%$ (net of actual and hypothetical fees) which has outpaced the S\&P 500 Index and the MSCI World Index (in U.S. \$), on an annualized basis over the same period, by $1.99 \%$ and $3.63 \%$, respectively.

After reviewing each Fund's performance relative to its direct competitors, comparable investment strategy (in the case of the Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund), and to its benchmark indices over various periods of time, the Board concluded that it was satisfied with each Fund's performance, and further concluded that Tweedy, Browne's performance record in managing the Funds warranted the continuation of the Advisory Agreements.

## D. Advisory Fees and Total Expenses

The Board reviewed the advisory fees and total expenses of the Funds, noting that each Fund pays an advisory fee of $1.25 \%$ of assets under management. The Board compared such amounts with the average fee and expense levels of funds pursuing comparable investment objectives. After reviewing the Fund-specific fee and expense data, the Board considered the "hidden costs" of mutual funds associated with frequent trading and tax liabilities.

In considering comparative fee data, the Board reviewed the expense ratios for each Fund alongside those of its direct competitors and of its relevant Morningstar category averages. The Board noted that the expense ratios of the Global Value
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Fund and Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund, respectively, were lower than that of each Fund's respective Morningstar category. The Board considered that the total expense ratios of the Global Value Fund and Value Fund, respectively, had declined since each Fund's inception. The Board noted that with respect to the Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund, certain expenses had been partially reimbursed by Tweedy, Browne since the Fund's inception in September 2007 in order to assist the Fund in building assets. This has resulted in keeping the Fund's net expenses in line with the expense ratio of the Global Value Fund. The Board compared the advisory fees paid by the Funds against Tweedy, Browne's standard fee rate for separate account portfolios. The Board compared the Funds' expense ratios to funds that do not charge Rule $12 \mathrm{~b}-1$ fees in excess of $0.25 \%$ of assets under management.

After reviewing this fee and expense data, together with the Board's observation that Tweedy, Browne provided a high level of integrity and service to the Funds' shareholders, the Board determined that the fees charged under the Advisory Agreements are fair and reasonable.

## E. Adviser Costs, Level of Profits and Economies of Scale

The Board reviewed information regarding Tweedy, Browne's costs of providing services to the Funds, as well as the resulting level of profits to Tweedy, Browne. In so doing, the Board reviewed materials relating to Tweedy, Browne's financial condition and reviewed the wide variety of services and intensive research performed for the Funds. The Board also noted that Tweedy, Browne had absorbed the entire expense of the Funds' Chief Compliance Officer since her appointment in June 2004. The Board reviewed profitability data provided by Tweedy, Browne with respect to Tweedy, Browne's relationship with the Company as a whole, and with each Fund separately.

The Board considered Tweedy, Browne's research process and, in particular, Tweedy, Browne's research with respect to non-U.S. securities. The Board also noted that a consequence of Tweedy, Browne's investment discipline for the Global Value Fund and Value Fund, which focuses on smaller and medium market capitalization issues, is that its cost of research per dollar is likely to be higher than would be the case for an investment adviser that invests in concentrated positions and/or only in larger market capitalization companies. The Board noted that this research process is likely not conducive to economies of scale that would be potentially realizable in the management of large pools of capital invested in large market capitalization stocks. With respect to the Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund, the Board noted that although the Fund has a higher proportion of large market capitalization holdings, Tweedy, Browne must still perform extensive research regarding companies that pay above-average dividends and that satisfy a different level of undervaluation than Tweedy, Browne requires for the other Funds. The Board determined that such research strategy would therefore not be less intensive or less expensive
than that employed by Tweedy, Browne on behalf of the other Funds. The Board concluded that Tweedy, Browne's profitability from its client relationships, including its relationship with the Funds, is reasonable.

## F. Ancillary Benefits

The Board considered a variety of other benefits received by Tweedy, Browne as a result of its relationship with the Funds, including benefits derived by Tweedy, Browne from "soft dollar" arrangements with broker-dealers. The Board considered materials concerning Tweedy, Browne's brokerage allocation policies. The Board also reviewed Tweedy, Browne's policies and procedures prohibiting the use of brokerage commissions to finance the distribution of fund shares.

## G. Conclusion

Based on its review, including consideration of each of the factors noted above, the Board concluded that the nature, extent and quality of the services rendered to the Funds favored renewal of the Advisory Agreements. The Board concluded that the Advisory Agreements continued to be fair and reasonable to the Funds and their shareholders, that the Funds' shareholders received reasonable value in return for the advisory fees and other amounts paid to Tweedy, Browne by the Funds, and that the renewal of the Advisory Agreements at the present contractual rates was in the best interests of the Funds and their shareholders.

TWEEDY, BROWNE FUND INC.
350 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10022
800-432-4789
www.tweedy.com


[^0]:    * Stocks and bonds are subject to different risks. In general, stocks are subject to greater price fluctuations and volatility than bonds and can decline significantly in value in response to adverse issuer, political, regulatory, market, or economic developments. Unlike stocks if held to maturity, bonds generally offer to pay both a fixed rate of return and a fixed principal value. Bonds are subject to interest rate risk (as interest rates rise bond prices generally fall), the risk of issuer default, issuer credit risk, and inflation risk.

[^1]:    * "Undisclosed Security" represents an issuer, a generally smaller capitalization issuer, where disclosure may be disadvantageous to the Fund's accumulation or disposition program.
    ** Rate represents annualized yield at date of purchase.
    *** Aggregate cost for federal tax purposes is \$2,954,774,899.
    $\dagger$ Amount represents less than $0.1 \%$ of net assets.
    $\dagger \dagger$ Non-income producing security.
    $\dagger \dagger$ All or a portion of this security has been segregated to cover certain open forward contracts. At September 30, 2010, liquid assets totaling $\$ 138,741,726$ have been segregated to cover such open forward contracts


    ## Abbreviations:

    ADR - American Depositary Receipt
    CHF - Swiss Franc
    CVA - Certificaaten van aandelen (Share Certificates)
    NVDR — Non Voting Depository Receipt

[^2]:    * "Undisclosed Securities" represents issuers, generally smaller capitalization issuers, where disclosure may be disadvantageous to the Fund's accumulation or disposition program.
    ** Aggregate cost for federal tax purposes is $\$ 54,948,440$.
    $\dagger \quad$ Non-income producing security.

[^3]:    (a) Primary risk exposure being hedged against is currency risk.

[^4]:    Counterpary Abbreviations:
    BOA - Bank of America
    BNY - BNY Mellon
    CIT - Citibank
    JPM - JP Morgan Chase
    NTC - Northern Trust
    SSB - State Street

[^5]:    * Rate represents annualized yield at date of purchase
    ** Aggregate cost for federal tax purposes is $\$ 184,472,492$.
    Abbreviations:
    ADR - American Depositary Receipt
    CVA - Certificaaten van aandelen (Share Certificates)
    € - European Union Euro

[^6]:    (a) The Tweedy, Browne Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged commenced operations on October 26, 2009.
    (b) Foreign currency held at cost for the Global Value Fund, Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged, Value Fund and Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund was $\$ 175,716, \$ 7,652$, $\$ 0$ and $\$ 53,593$, respectively.

[^7]:    (a) Primary risk exposure being hedged is currency risk.

[^8]:    (a) The Tweedy, Browne Global Value Fund II - Currency Unhedged commenced operations on October 26, 2009.
    (b) Amount represents less than $\$ 0.01$ per share.
    (c) Total return represents aggregate total return for the period indicated.
    (d) Annualized.

[^9]:    (a) For year ended $3 / 31 / 06$, investment income per share reflects a special dividend which amounted to $\$ 0.05$ per share. Excluding the special dividend, the ratio of net investment income to average net assets would have been $0.88 \%$ per share.
    (b) Total return represents aggregate total return for the periods indicated.
    (c) Annualized.

[^10]:    (a) The Tweedy, Browne Worldwide High Dividend Yield Value Fund commenced operations on September 5, 2007.
    (b) Amount represents less than $\$ 0.01$ per share.
    (c) Total return represents aggregate total return for the period indicated.
    (d) Annualized.

[^11]:    * See Portfolio of Investments for security type and country breakout.

[^12]:    * Commenced operations on October 26, 2009.

